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**Abstract.** A revision of the genus *Angulaphthona* Bechyné, 1960 is provided, with a description of *Angulaphthona confusa* sp. n. from the Democratic Republic of Congo, and *A. rossii* sp. n. from Sierra Leone. The following synonymies are proposed: *Angulaphthona latipennis* (Pic, 1921) = *A. latipennis zambeziensis* (Bechyné, 1959) syn. n. and *Angulaphthona pelengensis* Bechyné, 1960 = *A. exalta* Bechyné, 1960 syn. n. The precedence of the name *pelengensis* is discussed. *Angulaphthona violaceomicans* (Chen, 1936) comb. n. (transferred from *Aphthona*) from Sri Lanka is established, and some hypotheses to explain the presence of the genus outside Africa are put forward. A key to the seven known species is supplied, with microphotographs of diagnostic characters, including male and female genitalia.

**ZooBank Article LSID:** A222EC5B-6130-45C8-9BB4-122AC6D6F8B2

**INTRODUCTION**

Alticini are a tribe of small to medium sized Coleoptera Chrysomelidae, named “flea beetles” because of the presence of a metathoracic extensor tendon that enables them to jump (Furth & Suzuki, 1998; Nadein & Betz, 2016). It is included within the subfamily Galerucinae, along with the closely related Galerucini (Bouchard et al., 2011). Both the adult and larval stages feed on stems, leaves or roots and rarely on flowers, of almost all the higher plant families, generally with high levels of specialization (Konstantinov & Vandenberg, 1996). It is probably the largest and most diverse tribe of Chrysomelidae, comprising over 534 genera and about 8000 species (Nadein, 2012; Nadein & Bezděk, 2014), occurring all over the world, and ranging from widely distributed in more than one zoogeographical region, to strictly endemic. The highest species richness occurs in the tropics in the southern hemisphere, even though our knowledge about this tribe is still incomplete for these areas (Biondi & D’Alessandro, 2010a, 2012; Konstantinov & Vandenberg, 1996; Nadein & Bezděk, 2014), as demonstrated by the high number of new species still being described in recent taxonomic papers (e.g. Biondi & D’Alessandro, 2008, 2010b; D’Alessandro et al., 2014, 2016). The whole Afrotropical region hosts about 1600 known species, included in 101 genera (Biondi, pers. data; Biondi & D’Alessandro, 2010, 2012, 2013a, b, 2015, 2016, 2017; Biondi et al., 2017; D’Alessandro et al., 2014, 2017; Döberl, 2010), and shares a high percentage of genera with the Oriental (27%) and Palaearctic (27%) regions (Biondi & D’Alessandro, 2012). The genus *Angulaphthona* Bechyné, 1960 was known as the unique Pan-African flea beetle genus, occurring in Mediterranean Africa (Egypt), Sub-Saharan Africa and Madagascar (Biondi & D’Alessandro, 2012). However, previous authors included Egypt in the geographic distribution based on a misunderstanding: the type locality of *Aphthona latipennis* Pic (now *Angulaphthona*), Khartoum, originally served as an outpost for the Egyptian Army, and its history was linked to Egypt until 1956, when it became the capital of the independent Republic of Sudan.

Bechyné (1960) described the genus *Angulaphthona* based on some pronotal characters, and transferred to it the following species: *Aphthona heteromorpha* Bechyné, 1955, *A. latipennis* Pic, 1921 and *A. zambeziensis* Bechyné, 1959. In the same paper, he describes *Angulaphthona exalta* Bechyné, 1960 and *A. pelengensis* Bechyné, 1960. Later, Gruev (1981) described *Angulaphthona schereri*. In this contribution, we provide a revision of the genus based...
on new material. We describe *Angulaphthona confusa* sp. n. from the Democratic Republic of Congo and *A. rossii* sp. n. from Sierra Leone, and propose *A. pelengensis* as a synonym of *A. exalta*. We also deal with the taxonomic status and natural history of *Aphthona violaceomicans* Chen, 1936 from Sri Lanka, here transferred to *Angulaphthona*. We provide microphotographs of the main diagnostic characters of the genus and the species, including male and female genitalia, and a key to the seven known species.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Material examined consisted of dried pinned specimens preserved in the institutions listed below. Specimens were examined, measured and dissected using a Leica M205C binocular microscope. Photomicrographs were taken using a Leica DFC500 camera and processed using Zerne Stacker version 1.04 software. Scanning electron micrographs were taken using an Hitachi TM-1000 SEM. Geographical coordinates of the localities were reported in degrees, minutes and seconds (DMS-WGS84 format); coordinates and geographic information included in square brackets were added by the authors using information from the website GoogleEarth. Chorotypes follow Biondi & D’Alessandro (2006). The terminology for genitalia follows: Döberl (1986), Furth & Suzuki (1994) and Suzuki (1998) for the spermatheca; Furth & Suzuki (1998) and Nadein & Betz (2016) for the metemeforal extensor tendon. Ecological notes are reported in terms of African types of vegetation, primarily the divisions and formations identified in terms of African types of vegetation, primarily the divisions and formations identified and described by Sayre et al. (2013), based on the geographic coordinates of the localities where the specimens were collected.

**Abbreviations.** Morphology: LA – numerical sequence proportional to length of each antennomere; LAED – length of aedeagus; LAN – length of antennae; LB – total length of body (from apical margin of head to apex of elytra); LE – length of elytra; LP – medial length of pronotum; LSPE – length of spermathecal capsule; WE – maximum width of both elytra; WP – maximum width of pronotum; spec. – specimen/specimens. When possible, 10 male and 10 female specimens were measured to determine the mean, standard deviation and range for each sex.


**RESULTS**

**Genus Angulaphthona Bechyné, 1960**


**Type species.** *Aphthona heteromorpha* Bechyné 1955: 62 (Madaras: Bas Mangoky), by original designation.

**Distribution.** Democratic Republic of Congo, Eritrea, Madagascar, Malawi, Mozambique, Nigeria, Republic of South Africa, Saudi Arabia, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Chad, Uganda, Yemen, Zambia, Zimbabwe (Fig. 31).

**Ecology.** *Angulaphthona heteromorpha* was collected on cotton plants, *Gossypium* sp. (Malvaceae) (Bechyné, 1955, as *Aphthona*). Pollard (1957) and Gentry (1965) report *Aphthona latipennis* on *Abutilon pannosum*, Cotton, *Hibiscus excentuatus* (Malvaceae); *Cajanus indicus*, *Dolichos lablab*, *Medicago sativa*, *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Fabaceae); *Calotropis procera* (Apocynaceae); *Aristolochia bracteolata* (Aristolochiaceae); *Farsetia grandiflora*, *Raphanus sativus* (Brassicaceae); *Heliotropium* sp. (Boraginaceae); *Sorghum vulgare* (Poaceae); *Eclipta alba* (Asteraceae); *Nicotiana tabacum* (Solanaceae); *Mangifera indica*, *Piptostegia* sp. (Anacardiaceae); *Ipomoea* sp. (Convolvulaceae). Scherer (1962a) reports *Aphthona latipennis* from “galerie forestière”.

**Remarks.** *Angulaphthona* is identifiable by several well-defined characters that are easily recognizable in all species, which make it easy to distinguish it from similar genera, specifically *Aphthona* Chevolot, 1836, which is considered to be the closest (Bechyné, 1960). The main diagnostic characters (basis for the specific epithet) regards the basal margin of pronotum, laterally slightly expanded and raised, with posterior angles bearing a tubercle with a setigerous pore (Figs 8–15) (without any lateral expansion and tubercle in *Aphthona*). Pronotum is basically sub-trapezoidal, straight or slightly rounded and distinctly bordered laterally, with anterior angles thickened and generally sharpened (Figs 8–15) (sub-rectangular, more distinctly rounded and finely bordered laterally, with anterior angles neither thickened nor sharpened in *Aphthona*). Other useful diagnostic characters shared by all species are: frontal tubercles V-shaped (Fig. 16) (generally sub-triangular or roundish in *Aphthona*); frontal carina clearly raised, apically rounded, expanded above the elyopes (Fig. 16); elytra distinctly bordered laterally, with confused and generally clearly impressed punctuation (Figs 8–15) (narrowly bordered laterally, generally with slightly or moderately impressed punctuation in *Aphthona*); spermatheca with coiled ductus (Figs 25–30) (generally uncoiled in *Aphthona*, very rarely coiled). Dorsal integuments are always blue or blackish, with distinct metallic blue reflections (Figs 1–7). No special diagnostic characters are found on legs. The metemeforal extensor tendon of *Angulaphthona* (Fig. 17) can be attributed to the *Psylliodes* Morpho-Group (Furth & Suzuki, 1998) by having: the basal angle of the ventral
lobe very narrow (acute) and pointed; a very distinct recurved flange; a flat tendon basal edge which is at about a right angle to the central axis of the dorsal lobe; however, the extended arm is not very depressed. The metatarsal extensor tendon of *Aphthona* (Furth, 1980: Fig. 6) belongs to the *Chaetocnema* Morpho-Group that is similar to the *Psylliodes* Morpho-Group, but with dorsal edge of ventral lobe strongly angled down and without the recurved flange (Furth, 1980; Furth & Suzuki, 1998). Pronotum bears both diagnostic characters for the genus, and features for distinguishing the species. However, the main diagnostic characters at the species level are associated with the genitalia. The median lobe of the aedeagus of each species is easily recognizable (Figs 18–24). Spermatheca can be attributed to two distinct groups, depending on whether the apical and basal part are well separated or not (Figs 25–30); the

---

**Figs 1–4.** Habitus. 1 – *Angulaphthona confusa* sp. n., holotype, male; 2 – *A. heteromorpha*, female, Madagascar, Berenty Reserve; 3 – *A. latipennis*, male, Mozambique, Monapo; 4 – *A. pelengensis*, male, Uganda, Semliki Forest. LB – body length.
only two species with separated apical and basal parts (A. schereri and A. violaceomicans) also have a short appendix on the distal part. The sexual dimorphism concerns the size of first pro- and mesotarsomere, more or less enlarged in males of most species, and the sculpture on the last visible abdominal ventrite, which has a central lobe with an evident median carina in males of five out of seven species. Interestingly, the only two species that have a simple last ventrite, A. schereri and A. violaceomicans, also have similar spermathecae.

**ANNOTATED CATALOGUE OF THE SPECIES**

*Angulaphthona confusa* sp. n.  
(Figs 1, 8, 18, 28, 31)

ZooBank taxon LSID:  
B714B67F-132F-4D6F-806F-9D51DED7B77C

**Diagnosis.** *Angulaphthona confusa* sp. n. can be identified by the following pronotal characters (Fig. 8): posterior and lateral margins rounded (especially in male); anterior angles clearly sharpened; punctuation dense, uniform and small, clearly smaller than on elytra. Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 18) is very peculiar in having an elongate, apically rounded apical extension. Spermatheca is damaged (it lacks the ductus) (Fig. 28), but its capsule is very similar to those of *A. heteromorpha*, *A. latipennis* and *A. pelengensis*, as the apical and basal parts are not separated (Figs 19–21).

Description of the holotype (♂). Body sub-elliptical, moderately convex (Fig. 1); LB = 2.81 mm; maximum pronotal width in the middle (WP = 1.05 mm); maximum elytral width in the middle (WE = 1.63 mm). Dorsum dark blue, with metallic reflection. Head with smooth surface, very finely micropunctate (Fig. 8); frontal carina moderately raised, quite thin, rounded apically, continuous with post-clypeal area; frontal tubercles clearly elongate, V-shaped, weakly raised, clearly delimited only posteriorly; frontal grooves distinctly impressed, from frontal tubercles to upper ocular margin. Antennae distinctly longer than half body length (LAN = 1.94 mm; LAN/LB = 0.69), dark brown, mostly homogenous in colour; LA: 100:71:94:106:106:100:118:106:106:147. Pronotum (Fig. 8) sub-trapezoidal, moderately transverse (LP = 0.75 mm; WP/LP = 1.40), weakly rounded laterally, with clearly expanded lateral margins; basal margin distinctly rounded; anterior angles clearly prominent and sharpened; posterior angles with flat margins and distinctly prominent setigerous pore; pronotal surface weakly micropunctate, almost smooth, with dense, small and uniform punctuation. Metathoracic wings macropterous. Elytra moderately elongate (LE = 2.27 mm; WE/LE = 0.72), laterally distinctly rounded (Fig. 1); punctuation confused, more strongly impressed than on pronotum (Fig. 8). Legs brownish; first pro- and mesotarsomeres moderately dilated. Venter blackish; last visible abdominal ventrite with central lobe bearing a distinct median carina. Aedeagus (LAED = 1.19 mm; LE/LAED = 1.91) (Fig. 18) in ventral view slightly enlarged in apical part, with strongly elongate, apically rounded apex; ventral sulcus short, sub-elliptical; lateral view median lobe slightly curved, with dorsally bent apex; sulcus of dorsal ligula short, placed on sub-apical part.

**Variation.** Female (n = 1): LE = 2.41 mm; WE = 1.78 mm; LP = 0.69 mm; WP = 1.06 mm; LAN = 2.03 mm; LSPC = 0.38 mm; LB = 2.94 mm; LE/LP = 1.40; WE/LE = 0.72; WP/LP = 1.40; WE/LP = 0.74; LAN/LB = 0.69; LE/LSPC = 6.42. Paratype very similar in size, shape, sculpture and colour to the holotype. Frontal carina moderately raised, quite thin, rounded apically, continuous with post-clypeal area; frontal tubercles clearly elongate, V-shaped, weakly raised, clearly delimited only posteriorly; antennae distinctly longer than half body length (LAN = 1.94 mm; LAN/LB = 0.69), dark brown, mostly homogenous in colour; LA: 100:71:94:106:106:100:118:106:106:147. Pronotum (Fig. 8) sub-trapezoidal, moderately transverse (LP = 0.75 mm; WP/LP = 1.40), weakly rounded laterally, with clearly expanded lateral margins; basal margin distinctly rounded; anterior angles clearly prominent and sharpened; posterior angles with flat margins and distinctly prominent setigerous pore; pronotal surface weakly micropunctate, almost smooth, with dense, small and uniform punctuation. Metathoracic wings macropterous. Elytra moderately elongate (LE = 2.27 mm; WE/LE = 0.72), laterally distinctly rounded (Fig. 1); punctuation confused, more strongly impressed than on pronotum (Fig. 8). Legs brownish; first pro- and mesotarsomeres moderately dilated. Venter blackish; last visible abdominal ventrite with central lobe bearing a distinct median carina. Aedeagus (LAED = 1.19 mm; LE/LAED = 1.91) (Fig. 18) in ventral view slightly enlarged in apical part, with strongly elongate, apically rounded apex; ventral sulcus short, sub-elliptical; lateral view median lobe slightly curved, with dorsally bent apex; sulcus of dorsal ligula short, placed on sub-apical part.

**Type material.** Holotype ♂: Congo belge [Democratic Republic of Congo]: ex P.N.U. Kiamakoto entre Masombwe-Mukana, r.dr. Lukima, af. dr. Gr. Kafwe, 1070 m [9°09´05.29˝S, 27°10´37.21˝E], 20.ix.1948, Mis. G.F. de Witte. 1841a”, paratype of *Angulaphthona pelengensis*. Paratype: 1♀, same data as the holotype, paratype of *Angulaphthona pelengensis* (RMCA).
Type locality. Kiamakoto (Democratic Republic of Congo).

Etymology. The specific epithet is a Latin adjective meaning “confused”, “mixed up”, and referring to its previous attribution to the species *A. pelengensis*.

Distribution. Democratic Republic of Congo (Fig. 31). Central Afrotropical (CAT) chorotype.

Ecological notes. Not available. The collecting site falls within the area of Miombo & Associated Broadleaf Savanna vegetation (belonging to the Tropical Lowland Grassland, Savanna & Shrubland formation) (Sayre et al., 2013).

**Angulaphthona heteromorpha** (Bechyné, 1955) (Figs 2, 9, 16, 26, 31)


Type material examined. Holotype ♂: Madagascar, E.D.C.M.10, ex cottonier feuille, Bas Mangoky [21°35´35.22”S, 43°43´25.79”E] (MNHN). Allotype: 1 ♀, same data as holotype (MNHN).

Type locality. Bas Mangoky (Madagascar).

Additional material examined. Madagascar: Boeni, Basse Betsiboka, Marovoay [16°06´26.48”S, 46°38´37.03”E], 5.i.1900, Dr. J. De Corse 1901, 1 spec. (MNHN); Berenty Reserve nr. Ambato-Boeni [16°28´15.20”S, 46°19´52.64”E], 19.x.1970, sweeping river bank, 1 spec. (BMNH); Maj., Ambato-Boeni [16°28´15.20”S, 46°19´52.64”E], 19.x.1970, sweeping river bank, 1 spec. (BMNH); Maj., Ambongamaranitra [18°18´57”S, 47°56´8”E], 20.vi.1958, F. Keiser leg., 1 spec. (MHNH); Maj., Ambongamaranitra [18°18´57”S, 47°56´8”E], 20.vi.1958, F. Keiser leg., 1 spec. (MHNH).

Type locality. Maj., Ambongamaranitra [16°06´26.48”S, 46°38´37.03”E], 5.i.1900, Dr. J. De Corse 1901, 1 spec. (MNHN); Berenty Reserve nr. Ambato-Boeni [16°28´15.20”S, 46°19´52.64”E], 19.x.1970, sweeping river bank, 1 spec. (BMNH); Maj., Ambato-Boeni [16°28´15.20”S, 46°19´52.64”E], 19.x.1970, sweeping river bank, 1 spec. (BMNH); Maj., Ambongamaranitra [18°18´57”S, 47°56´8”E], 20.vi.1958, F. Keiser leg., 1 spec. (MHNH).

Remarks. *Angulaphthona heteromorpha* is distinguishable by both the pronotal and elytral punctuation, clearly smaller and shallower compared to other species (Fig. 9); lateral margins of pronotum generally slightly curved and weakly expanded (but more expanded anteriorly in some specimens). Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 19) in ventral view with two lateral, pointed expansions apically, and a large, rounded, flattened median tooth; in lateral view median lobe mostly thick and clearly curved; dorsal surface with an elongate, deep, V-shaped sulcus, bearing two lateral expansions apically. Spermatheca (Fig. 26) with apical and basal part not definitely separated; ductus inserted apically, closely coiled over most of its length. In some specimens spermatheca with wider basal part, and slightly thinner ductus, more clearly and unevenly coiled.

Biometry. Male (n = 1, holotype): LE = 2.97 mm; WE = 2.05 mm; LP = 0.91 mm; WP = 1.33 mm; LAN = 2.34 mm; LAED = 1.19 mm; LB = 3.66 mm; LE/LP = 3.28; WE/WP = 1.54; WP/LP = 1.47; WE/LE = 0.69; LAN/LB = 0.64; LE/LAED = 2.50. Female (n = 5; mean and standard deviation; range): LE = 2.85 ± 0.13 mm (2.69 ± LE ≤ 3.00 mm); WE = 2.11 ± 0.11 mm (2.02 ≤ WE ≤ 2.28 mm); LP = 0.85 ± 0.06 mm (0.78 ≤ LP ≤ 0.92 mm); WP = 1.28 ± 0.06 mm (1.22 ≤ WP ≤ 1.38 mm); LAN = 2.26 ± 0.18 mm (2.00 ≤ LAN ≤ 2.44 mm); LSPC = 0.40 ± 0.02 mm (0.38 ≤ LSPC ≤ 0.42 mm); LB = 3.53 ± 0.15 mm (3.41 ≤ LB ≤ 3.78 mm); LE/LP = 3.34 ± 0.12 (3.18 ≤ LE/LP ≤ 3.46); WE/WP = 1.65 ± 0.05 (1.59 ≤ WE/WP ≤ 1.73); WP/LP = 1.50 ± 0.06 (1.43 ≤ WP/LP ≤ 1.58); WE/LE = 0.74 ± 0.01 (0.73 ≤ WE/LE ≤ 0.76); LAN/LB = 0.64 ± 0.04 (0.59 ≤ LAN/LB ≤ 0.69); LE/LSPC = 7.12 ± 0.31 (6.59 ≤ LE/LSPC ≤ 7.38).

Distribution. Madagascar (Fig. 31). Malagasy (MAL) chorotype.

Ecological notes. Collected on cotton. No other ecological notes are available. The collecting sites are located in very different types of vegetation (Sayre et al., 2013).

**Angulaphthona latipennis** (Pic, 1921) (Figs 3, 10, 17, 20, 27, 31)


= *Aphthona zambeziensis* Bechyné, 1959, syn. n.


Type material examined. Paratype of *Angulaphthona zambeziensis*: 1 ♀, Mozambique: Zambesi, Boroma [17°27´00”S, 35°40´00”E], 1955 (ZSM).

Biometry. Male (n = 1, holotype): LE = 2.97 mm; WE = 2.05 mm; LP = 0.91 mm; WP = 1.33 mm; LAED = 1.19 mm; LB = 3.66 mm; LE/LP = 3.28; WE/WP = 1.54; WP/LP = 1.47; WE/LE = 0.69; LAN/LB = 0.64; LE/LAED = 2.50. Female (n = 5; mean and standard deviation; range): LE = 2.85 ± 0.13 mm (2.69 ± LE ≤ 3.00 mm); WE = 2.11 ± 0.11 mm (2.02 ≤ WE ≤ 2.28 mm); LP = 0.85 ± 0.06 mm (0.78 ≤ LP ≤ 0.92 mm); WP = 1.28 ± 0.06 mm (1.22 ≤ WP ≤ 1.38 mm); LAN = 2.26 ± 0.18 mm (2.00 ≤ LAN ≤ 2.44 mm); LSPC = 0.40 ± 0.02 mm (0.38 ≤ LSPC ≤ 0.42 mm); LB = 3.53 ± 0.15 mm (3.41 ≤ LB ≤ 3.78 mm); LE/LP = 3.34 ± 0.12 (3.18 ≤ LE/LP ≤ 3.46); WE/WP = 1.65 ± 0.05 (1.59 ≤ WE/WP ≤ 1.73); WP/LP = 1.50 ± 0.06 (1.43 ≤ WP/LP ≤ 1.58); WE/LE = 0.74 ± 0.01 (0.73 ≤ WE/LE ≤ 0.76); LAN/LB = 0.64 ± 0.04 (0.59 ≤ LAN/LB ≤ 0.69); LE/LSPC = 7.12 ± 0.31 (6.59 ≤ LE/LSPC ≤ 7.38).

Distribution. Madagascar (Fig. 31). Malagasy (MAL) chorotype.

Ecological notes. Collected on cotton. No other ecological notes are available. The collecting sites are located in very different types of vegetation (Sayre et al., 2013).

Type material examined. Paratype of *Angulaphthona zambeziensis*: 1 ♀, Mozambique: Zambesi, Boroma [17°27´00”S, 35°40´00”E], 1955 (ZSM).
Type locality. Khartoum (Sudan).

Additional material examined. Democratic Republic of Congo: P.N.U. Kiamoko-Kiwakishi (1.070 m) [9°09′34.53″S, 27°11′23.40″E], 4.–16.x.1948, Mis. G.F. de Witte. 1886a, 1 spec., paratype of Angulaphthona exalta (RMCA); Eritrea: Agordat [15°32′26.42″N, 37°52′39.92″E], ii.1930, E. Zavattari leg., 7 spec. (MSNG); Malawi: Nyasaland [= Malawi], Mlanje [16°01′30.84″S, 35°30′29.93″E], 1.xii.1913, S.A. Neave leg., 1914-156, 1 spec. (BMNH); Mozambique: Port. E. Africa, Monapo [14°54′54.05″S, 40°19′45.41″E], x.1918, G.D.H. Carpenter leg., 1919-36, 1 spec. (BMNH); Caia, Zambesi [17°49′03.67″S, 35°20′28.10″E], H. Swale leg., 1913-117, 2 spec. (BMNH); ditto, 12.xi.1910, 1 spec. (BMNH); ditto, 5.viii.1911, 1 spec. (BMNH); Nigeria: Gombe, Barra [Bara 10°22′27.97″N, 10°43′46.25″E], i.1929, W. Woud leg. (BMNH); Republic of South Africa: Durban [29°52′06.50″S, 31°00′42.87″E], F. Muir leg., 1905-313, 2 spec. (BMNH); Saudi Arabia: Jizan prov., Wadi Atoud, 17°48′N, 42°22′E, 245 m, 8.i.2016, J. Bezděk & D. Král leg., 2 spec. (JBCB); Somalia: Balad [2°21′30.22″N, 45°19′05.38″E], 1970, A. Szalay-Marzsó leg., 6 spec. (HNHM); Wadi Jaira, tributary of Wadi Siham, ca. 3,000 ft [14°21′57″N, 43°33′40″E], 10.iii.1938, H. Scott & E.B. Britton leg., 4 spec. (BMNH); Usaiira, 1 mile N of Ta’izz, ca. 4,500 ft [13°37′05.83″N, 44°02′08.46″E], in cultivated

Figs 18–21. Median lobe of aedeagus in ventral (v), dorsal (d) and lateral (l) view. 18 – Angulaphthona confusa sp. n., holotype; 19 – A. heteromorpha, holotype; 20 – A. latipennis, Yemen, Wadi Zabid; 21 – A. pelengensis, holotype.

Biometry. Male (n = 10; mean and standard deviation; range): LE = 2.85 ± 0.15 mm (2.56 ≤ LE ≤ 3.06 mm); WE = 2.06 ± 0.09 mm (1.88 ≤ WE ≤ 2.22 mm); LP = 0.88 ± 0.05 mm (0.78 ≤ LP ≤ 0.97 mm); WP = 1.28 ± 0.06 mm (1.19 ≤ WP ≤ 1.34 mm); LAN = 2.38 ± 0.13 mm (2.13 ≤ LAN ≤ 2.59 mm); LAED = 1.52 ± 0.07 mm (1.44 ≤ LAED ≤ 1.66 mm); LB = 3.55 ± 0.16 mm (3.34 ≤ LB ≤ 3.72 mm); LE/LP = 3.23 ± 0.11 (3.07 ≤ LE/LP ≤ 3.37); WE/WP = 1.61 ± 0.05 (1.53 ≤ WE/WP ≤ 1.66); WP/LP = 1.45 ± 0.05 (1.39 ≤ WP/LP ≤ 1.54); WE/LE = 0.72 ± 0.02 (0.70 ≤ WE/LE ≤ 0.75); LAN/LB = 0.67 ± 0.03 (0.61 ≤ LAN/LB ≤ 0.71); LE/LAED = 1.87 ± 0.08 (1.73 ≤ LE/LAED ≤ 1.98). Female (n = 10; mean and standard deviation; range): LE = 2.93 ± 0.17 mm (2.63 ≤ LE ≤ 3.19 mm); WE = 2.11 ± 0.11 mm (1.91 ≤ WE ≤ 2.27 mm); LP = 0.85 ± 0.06 mm (0.81 ≤ LP ≤ 0.97 mm); WP = 1.30 ± 0.09 mm (1.16 ≤ WP ≤ 1.41 mm); LAN = 2.28 ± 0.09 mm (2.16 ≤ LAN ≤ 2.39 mm); LSPC = 0.40 ± 0.02 mm (0.38 ≤ LSPC ≤ 0.45 mm);

Distribution. Democratic Republic of Congo, Eritrea, Malawi, Mozambique, Nigeria, Republic of South Africa, Saudi Arabia (?), Somalia, Sudan, Chad (?), Yemen, Zimbabwe (Fig. 31). Eastern Afrotropical (EAF) chorotype.

Ecological notes. Aphthona latipennis is reported from many different plants by Pollard (1957) and Gentry (1965), and from “galerie forestière” by Scherer (1962a) (see “Ecology” in the characteristic of the genus above). Considering the checked localities, the species was collected in cultivated fields and on cotton, mainly in the Miombo & Associated Broadleaf Savanna and Eastern & Southern African Dry Savanna & Woodland vegetation divisions (belonging to the Tropical Lowland Grassland, Savanna & Shrubland formation) (Sayre et al., 2013).

Angulaphthona pelengensis Bechyné, 1960
(Figs 4, 11, 12, 16, 21, 25, 31)

= Angulaphthona exalta Bechyné, 1959 syn. n.


Type locality. Gorges de la Pelenge (Democratic Republic of Congo).

Remarks. The holotype (male) of *A. pelengensis* and the holotype (female) of *A. exalta* are considered conspecific due to the clear morphological similarity, specifically of the pronotum (Figs 11–12), strongly diagnostic for species of *Angulaphthona*. The precedence of the name *Angulaphthona pelengensis* Bechyné, 1960 is hereby established on the basis of the “Recommendation 24A. Action of First Reviser” of The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, 1999). In fact, because *A. exalta* is known based only on one female and *A. pelengensis* on three males, and because the aedeagus is more diagnostic than the spermatheca in the genus *Angulaphthona*, it is our opinion that the name pelengensis “will best serve stability and universality of nomenclature” (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, 1999). Concerning the paratypes of *A. exalta*, the female from Kiamakoto-Kiwakishi was attributed to *A. latipennis*, while the female from Kaziba was not considered in our revision because it is immature.

*A. pelengensis* is easily distinguishable by the regular convexity of the pronotum and the pronotal punctation (Fig. 13), but in this species a clear ante-basal transversal depression on pronotum is clearly visible in lateral view, but absent in *A. pelengensis*. Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 21) in ventral view slightly narrower in the middle, with sub-rounded apex, and smooth surface; in lateral view, thick and slightly curved in basal half, and gradually thinner and sinuate in apical half; dorsal ligula with U-shaped base at apical fourth of aedeagus. Spermatheca (Fig. 25) thickest in basal part; basal and distal part not definitely separated; ductus inserted sub-apically, clearly coiled in proximal part.

Biometry. Male (n = 3; range): 2.50 ≤ LE ≤ 2.69 mm; 1.80 ≤ WE ≤ 1.91 mm; 0.75 ≤ LP ≤ 0.78 mm; 1.14 ≤ WP ≤ 1.19 mm; 2.05 ≤ LAN ≤ 2.31 mm; 1.23 ≤ LAED ≤ 1.44 mm; 3.22 ≤ LB ≤ 3.72 mm; 3.27 ≤ LE/LP ≤ 3.58; 1.55 ≤ WE/WP ≤ 1.62; 1.51 ≤ WP/LP ≤ 1.52; 0.69 ≤ WE/LE ≤ 0.72; 0.62 ≤ LAN/LB ≤ 0.69; 1.87 ≤ LE/LAED ≤ 2.05.

Female (n = 1): LE = 3.09 mm; WE = 2.22 mm; LP = 0.84 mm; WP = 1.31 mm; LAN = 2.50 mm; LSPC = 0.41 mm; LB = 3.84 mm; LE/LP = 3.67; WE/WP = 1.69; WP/LP = 1.56; WE/LE = 0.72; LAN/LB = 0.65; LE/LSPC = 7.62.

Distribution. Democratic Republic of Congo, Uganda (Fig. 31). Central Afrotropical (CAT) chorotype.
**Ecological notes.** Not available. The collecting sites are in Tropical Lowland Humid Forest and Tropical Lowland Grassland, Savanna & Shrubland vegetation (Sayre et al., 2013).

*Angulaphthona rossii* sp. n.

(Figs 5, 13, 22, 31)

ZooBank taxon LSID: 85FE84DC-2789-4DFD-A6B8-DD731BC60980

**Diagnosis.** *Angulaphthona rossii* sp. n. shares with *A. pelengensis* the uneven pronotal punctation, with punctures posteriorly wider than anteriorly (Figs 11–13). However, it is externally distinguishable by the transverse depression near the pronotal base, clearly visible in lateral view. The median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 22) is clearly diagnostic as it has a strongly lanceolate shape, with widely rounded apex, in ventral view. Female unknown.

Description of the holotype ♀. Body sub-elliptical, moderately convex (Fig. 5); LB = 3.61 mm; maximum pronotal width in middle (WP = 1.25 mm); maximum elytral width in middle (WE = 2.00 mm). Dorsum blackish, with blue metallic reflection, elytral disc slightly paler. Head with smooth surface, very finely wrinkled and micropunctate (Fig. 13); frontal carina distinctly raised, rounded apically, continuous with post-clypeal area; frontal tubercles V-shaped, moderately elongate, slightly raised; frontal grooves deeply impressed, from frontal tubercles to upper ocular margin. Antennae distinctly longer than 1/2 body length (LAN = 2.53 mm; LAN/LB = 0.70), dark brown, with paler insertion and first segment; LA: 100:63:79:100:104:92:108:104:100:96:108. Pronotum (Fig. 13) slightly trapezoidal, distinctly transverse (LP = 0.83 mm; WP/LP = 1.51), weakly rounded laterally; lateral margins moderately expanded; anterior angles slightly prominent; posterior angles with flat margins and distinctly prominent setigerous pore; antebasal part slightly depressed; pronotal surface weakly micropunctate, almost smooth, with moderately dense punctuation; punctures small on most surfaces, bigger on antebasal part. Metathoracic wings macropterous. Elytra moderately elongate (EL = 2.81 mm; WE/EL = 0.71), laterally slightly rounded (Fig. 5), with margin slightly expanded, visible in dorsal view (Fig. 13); punctuation confused, similar to that on antebasal part of pronotum. Legs brownish; first pro- and mesosternomeres distinctly dilated. Venter blackish; last visible abdominal ventricle with central lobe with a distinct median carina. Aedeagus (LAED = 1.22 mm; LE/EAED = 2.31 (Fig. 22) in ventral view more enlarged apically than basally, slightly concave laterally; apical part sub-triangular, with rounded apex; ventral surface with two longitudinal depressions on apical third; dorsal surface with a median furrow on apical half; sulcus of dorsal ligula very short; in lateral view, aedeagus distinctly curved in basal 2/3s, than straight, with apical part slightly bent ventrally.

**Type material.** Holotype ♀: Sierra Leone, M. ti Loma, Cascate Denkale [Loma Mts, 9°10’02.03”N, 11°07’14.43”W], 750 m, 2.i.1983, W. Rossi leg. (BAQ).

**Type locality.** Loma Mts (Sierra Leone).

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is after our colleague and friend Walter Rossi (University of L’Aquila), esteemed specialist in Laboulbeniales, who collected the only known specimen of this new species.

**Distribution.** Sierra Leone (Fig. 31). Northern-Western Afrotropical (NWA) chorotype.

**Ecological notes.** Not available. The site at which it was collected is in the area of Guineo-Congolian Evergreen & Semi-Evergreen Rainforest vegetation (belonging to the Tropical Lowland Humid Forest) (Sayre et al., 2013).

*Angulaphthona schereri* Gruev, 1981

(Figs 6, 14, 17, 23, 29, 31)


**Type material examined.** Holotype ♀: Yemen, Wadi Zabid [14°07’53.26”N, 43°31’46.54”E], 1970, A. Szalay-Marzsó leg. (HNHM). Paratypes: 6 ♀ and 2 ♂, Yemen, same data as the holotype (HNHM).

**Type locality.** Wadi Zabid (Yemen).

**Additional material examined.** Ethiopia: Giuba [river] Margherita [lake] [6°24’46.76”N, 37°43’16.17”E], iv.1920, S. Patrizi leg., 1 spec. (MSNG); Madagascar: strada Ranohira-Ihosy (20 km da Ranohira) [22°30’00.15”S, 45°36’13.21”E], 20.xi.2006, C. Canepari leg., 2 spec. (MSNG); 2.5 km NE von Anara, SW von Larintensa, ca 975 m NN, Bushtal, 21°51’03”S, 46°50’34”E, 6.xi.2003, U. Göllner leg., 1 spec. (ZMHM); Somalia: Pni da Fun galango [= 0°04’04.94”N, 42°35’31.90”E], iii.–iv.1923, S. Patrizi leg., 1 spec. (MSNG); Afgoi [= 010°14’4, 47°23”E], Agraria, iii.1986, C. Siegel leg., 1 spec. (MSNG); Brit. Somaliland [= 10°14’14, 47°23”E], Haritun Pen, sweeping, 22.i.1903, Dr. M. Cameron leg., 2 spec. (BMNH); ditto, Dolphin Bay [≈10°14’N, 47°23”E], 1 spec. (BMNH); Sudan: Upper Nile, Malakal, [9°30’28.40”N, 31°39’27.20”E], 5–20.i.1963, R. Linnavauri leg., 1 spec. (MZF); Singa Garden, on Erkovi hedge [12°45’55.08”S, 33°37’03.28”E], 28.i.1926, W. Rutledge leg., 1 spec. (BMNH); Gendettu [Gandeto, 16°37’14”N, 33°14’33”E], in cotton fields, 20.x.1923, W.E. Giffard leg., 1 spec. (BMNH); Barakat [14°18’48.05”N, 33°31’39.58”E], on nambok, 25.i.1923, H.-B. Johnston leg., 1 spec. (BMNH); G.R.F. Medani [14°23’35.10”N, 33°32’21.15”E], feeding on *Aristolochia bracteata*, 6.iii.1922, H.W. Bedford leg., 1 spec. (BMNH); Wad Medani [14°23’35.10”N, 33°32’21.15”E], on *Aristolochia bracteata*, 6.iii.1922, H.W. Bedford leg., 1 spec. (BMNH); ditto, on cotton, 6.xii.1922, 1 spec. (BMNH); Medani [14°23’35.10”N, 33°32’21.15”E], 4.x.1926, H.W. Bedford leg., 2 spec. (BMNH); Kadugu [11°00’37.96”N, 43°29’42.19”E], on pea plant, 13.i.1931, F.G.S. Whifield leg., 1 spec. (BMNH); Yemen: Wadi Jaira, tributary of Wadi Sham, ca.3,000 ft [14°21’57”N, 43°33’40”E], 10.iii.1938, H. Scott & E.B. Britton leg., 5 spec. (BMNH); near Ta’izz, feald on road to Mocha, ca. 4,100 ft [13°34’04.39”N, 43°54’38.15”E], 16.xii.1937, H. Scott & E.B. Britton leg., 12 spec. (BMNH); Uasafrica, 1 mile N of Ta’izz, ca. 4,500 ft [13°37’05.83”N, 44°02’08.46”E], in cultivated fields, 13.xii.1937, H. Scott & E.B. Britton leg., 18 spec. (BMNH); ditto, 22.xii.1937, 3 spec. (BMNH); Hudyadah Bajil, [14°47’27.28”N, 42°58’15.18”E], 18.v.1992, R. Linnavauri leg., 3 spec. (BMNH); Wadi Surdud nr. Khamis Bani Sayid, [10°33’56.41”N, 30°39’00.89”E], 26.xi.2004, M. Snížek & V. Tichý leg., 2 spec. (BAQ); Chilinga Native Reserve [14°33’45”S, 30°22’30”E], on *Citrus* tree, 1 spec. (BMNH).
Fig. 31. Geographical distribution of the species of Angulaphtona relative to the types of African vegetation (Sayre et al., 2013).
Remarks. *A. schereri* is recognizable by its pronotal punctuation (Fig. 14), which is uniform and clearly impressed, about as large as that on elytra, and by the second, third and distal part of first antennomere distinctly paler than other antennal segments. Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 23) in ventral view fusiform, with sub-rounded apex and smooth surface; in lateral view slightly curved in basal half, then straight; dorsal ligula with U-shaped base at about apical fourth of aedeagus. Spermataeca (Fig. 29) with elongate, sub-cylindrical basal part, distinct from apical part; apical part curved, with a short appendix; ductus inserted apically, thick and coiled, except for the proximal part.

**Biometry.** Male (n = 10; mean and standard deviation; range): LE = 2.21 ± 0.22 mm (1.91 ≤ LE ≤ 2.53 mm); WE = 1.59 ± 0.14 mm (1.31 ≤ WE ≤ 1.70 mm); LP = 0.70 ± 0.05 mm (0.63 ≤ LP ≤ 0.77 mm); WP = 1.02 ± 0.10 mm (0.84 ≤ WP ≤ 1.16 mm); LAN = 1.84 ± 0.17 mm (1.56 ≤ LAN ≤ 2.03 mm); LAED = 1.07 ± 0.15 mm (0.81 ≤ LAED ≤ 1.22 mm); LB = 2.99 ± 0.23 mm (2.63 ≤ LB ≤ 3.28 mm); LE/LP = 3.15 ± 0.10 (3.00 ≤ LE/LP ≤ 3.31); WE/ WP = 1.55 ± 0.07 (1.45 ≤ WE/ WP ≤ 1.70); WP/LP = 1.46 ± 0.05 (1.35 ≤ WP/LP ≤ 1.51); WE/LE = 0.72 ± 0.03 (0.67 ≤ WE/LE ≤ 0.77); LAN/LB = 0.61 ± 0.03 (0.56 ≤ LAN/LB ≤ 0.68); LE/LAED = 2.07 ± 0.13 (1.97 ≤ LE/ LAED ≤ 2.31). Female (n = 10; mean and standard deviation; range): LE = 2.41 ± 0.21 mm (1.91 ≤ LE ≤ 2.66 mm); WE = 1.73 ± 0.14 mm (1.38 < WE < 1.91 mm); LP = 0.73 ± 0.06 mm (0.59 < LP < 0.78 mm); WP = 1.10 ± 0.09 mm (0.88 ≤ WP ≤ 1.19 mm); LAN = 1.89 ± 0.14 mm (1.53 ≤ LAN ≤ 2.02 mm); LSPC = 0.27 ± 0.03 mm (0.23 ≤ LSPC ≤ 0.31 mm); LB = 3.08 ± 0.28 mm (2.50 ≤ LB ≤ 3.56 mm); LE/LP = 3.32 ± 0.09 (3.21 ≤ LE/LP ≤ 3.43); WE/ WP = 1.57 ± 0.02 (1.55 ≤ WE/ WP ≤ 1.61); WP/LP = 1.51 ± 0.03 (1.47 ≤ WP/LP ≤ 1.57); WE/LE = 0.72 ± 0.01 (0.70 ≤ WE/LE ≤ 0.74); LAN/LB = 0.61 ± 0.03 (0.55 ≤ LAN/LB ≤ 0.65); LE/LSPC = 8.90 ± 1.13 (6.42 ≤ LE/ LSPC ≤ 10.67).

**Distribution.** Sri Lanka (Fig. 31). The peculiar distribution of this species is discussed further in the Conclusions.

**Ecological notes.** Not available.

**Key to species of Angulaphthona**

1 Smaller size: LE + LP < 2.50 mm in male, < 2.50 mm in female. Lateral margin of elytra very expanded (Fig. 15). Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 24) apically sub-triangular, with small, pointed, lateral expansions; and with a thin, short ventral sulcus; in lateral view clearly curved in basal half, then straight. Spermataeca (Fig. 30) with very thick basal part, distinct from apical part; ductus inserted sub-laterally, very thick and with many coils.

2 Pronotal punctuation anteriorly clearly smaller than posteriorly (Figs 11–13). ............................................................... 3

3 Antebasal part of pronotum slightly depressed (clearly visible in lateral view). Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 22) in ventral view apically clearly wider than basally, and in lateral view evenly thick. Female unknown................................. *A. rossii* sp. n. Pronotum evenly convex. Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 21) in ventral view apically as wide as basally, and in lateral view thinly transverse. Spermataeca (Fig. 25) with thick basal part, and ductus inserted sub-apically, clearly coiled proximally................................. *A. pelengensis*.

4 Pronotal punctuation clearly impressed, about as large as that on elytra (Fig. 14). Second, third and distal part of first antennomere distinctly paler. Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 23) fusiform in ventral view; in lateral view slightly curved in

**A. violaceomicans** is easily recognizable by the very expanded lateral margin of elytra and the clearly transverse pronotum (Fig. 15). It is also smaller in size than the other species (LE + LP ≤ 2.47 mm in male, = 2.39 mm in female). Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 24) in ventral view laterally sub-parallel, with smooth surface and a thin, short median sulcus on apical part; apex sub-triangular, with small, pointed, lateral expansions; in lateral view clearly curved in basal 2/3s, then straight; dorsal surface slightly depressed medially; dorsal ligula U-shaped, with base at apical fourth of aedeagus. Spermataeca (Fig. 30) with a very thick, sub-cylindrical basal part, distinct from apical part; distal part with a short appendix; ductus inserted sub-laterally, very thick and with many coils.
basal half, then straight. Spermatheca (Fig. 29) with basal and apical part clearly distinct...............................A. schereri

5 Pronotal punctuation very weakly impressed and smaller than on elytra (Figs 8–10). Antennal segments more uniform in colour. Spermatheca with basal and apical part not distinctly separated (Figs 26–28).......................................................... 5

6 Larger size: LE + LP ≥ 3.34 mm in male, ≥ 3.44 mm in female. Pronotum with sub-rounded anterior angles (Fig. 10). Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 20) in ventral view clearly and abruptly wider in apical 2/5s; in lateral view with apical part distinctly bent ventrally. Spermatheca (Fig. 27) with ductus loosely and irregularly coiled in proximal part .......................................................... 6

5 Pronotal punctuation very weakly impressed (Fig. 9). Pronotum sub-rectangular (Fig. 9). Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 19) apically with two lateral, pointed expansions; and a large, rounded, flattened median tooth; in lateral view very thick and clearly curved. Spermatheca (Fig. 26) slightly variable, with ductus weakly coiled or clearly and unevenly coiled over most its length..........................A. heteromorpha

5 Pronotal and elytral punctuation more distinctly impressed (Figs 8, 10). Pronotum sub-trapezoidal (Figs 8, 10)........................................ 6

6 Smaller size: LE + LP < 3.34 mm in male, < 3.44 mm in female. Pronotum with dentiform anterior angles (Fig. 8). Median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 18) in ventral view basally and apically sub-parallel, but clearly enlarged near middle; in lateral view with apical part distinctly bent dorsally. Ductus of spermatheca damaged and its morphology unknown......................

..........................................................A. confusa sp. n.

**DISCUSSION**

Based on the present revision, the flea beetle genus *Angulaphthona* currently comprises 7 species, of which two are new to science. They do not appear to be common based on the rather low number of specimens (for most species) in the main African entomological collections in the World. Most species occur in Central and Eastern Africa with extensions to the near Arabian Peninsula; two in Madagascar, one of which is endemic; one in Western Africa. A further species, *A. violaceomicans*, is known from Sri Lanka. There are another three genera of Alticini occurring both in the Afrotropical and the Oriental regions, *Chabria* Jacoby, *Jacobyana* Maulik, and *Sanckia* Duvivier (Biondi & D’Alessandro, 2011, 2012, 2013). Based on the present data, we cannot be sure whether Sri Lanka is part of the natural distribution of this genus or if this species was imported there from Africa on exotic plants. Different hypotheses can be put forward. If Sri Lanka is part of the natural distribution of the genus *Angulaphthona* then: (a) *A. violaceomicans* is probably a widespread (even though not common) species that has not been found yet elsewhere on the Indian sub-continent; (b) *A. violaceomicans* is the result of the differentiation of an ancestral widespread species reaching southern Asia through Madagascar. In fact, two *Angulaphthona* species occur in Madagascar: *A. heteromorpha*, endemic to the island, and the more widespread *A. schereri*. The similarities between the latter species and *A. violaceomicans* in spermatheca (they are the only two species with apical and basal part clearly distinct, and with a short appendix in the distal part) and in the last visible ventricle of males (they are the only two species without the typical carinate central lobe) might be important clues to their relationships. In this case, we do not expect to find *A. violaceomicans* in Africa and Madagascar. However, a different hypothesis could be that Sri Lanka is not part of the natural distribution of the genus, with *A. violaceomicans* imported to Kandy, the only known locality, on some exotic plants. The city of Kandy hosts the Udawatta Kele Sanctuary, a historic forest reserve on a hill-ridge that is heavily invaded by exotic trees and species of creeper (Nyanatussa & Dissanyake, 2013). Kimoto (2003) reports eight specimens of *A. violaceomicans* (as *Aphthona*) specifically from Udawatta Kele Sanctuary. In addition, the fact that Dr. G.H.K. Thwaites, who presented the seven specimens preserved in BMNH, was the director of this botanical reserve for long time, makes it plausible that the specimens were collected there. However, this species was not reported by Scherer (1969) among the flea beetles from the Indian sub-continent. This “Africa to Asia” import hypothesis would be confirmed if *A. violaceomicans* was found in Africa and/or Madagascar in the future.
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