New fossil Procercopidae (Hemiptera: Cicadomorpha) from the Middle Jurassic of Daohugou, Inner Mongolia, China
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Abstract. Anthoscytina Hong, 1983 is the largest genus within the Mesozoic Procercopidae, the stem group of the superfamily Cercopoidea. Herein, we describe two new species from the Middle Jurassic of Daohugou, northeast China. Anthoscytina brevineura Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n. and Anthoscytina elegans Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n. are established on the basis of new well-preserved fossils. Although these two new species are very similar, some stable differences in tegmental venation and colour patterns confirm their species status. Sinotettagarcta longa, 1986 is transferred to Anthoscytina, and to avoid secondary homonymy, a new name Anthoscytina hongi Chen, Wang & Zhang, nom. n. is proposed for that species. In addition, Anthoscytina aphthosa Ren, Yin & Dou, 1998 and Anthoscytina macula Hu, Yao & Ren, 2014 are transferred from Anthoscytina to Stellularis Chen, Yao & Ren, 2015.

INTRODUCTION

The Cercopoidea Leach, 1815 is one of the most speciose superfamilies of Cicadomorpha, with nearly 3000 described species attributed to five modern families and three extinct families from the Mesozoic (Hamilton, 2001; Dietrich, 2002; Hu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012). Most fossil and extant cercopoids are small insects, usually shorter than 13 mm (Hong, 1983; Wang & Zhang, 2009). Adult cercopoids are commonly called frog-hoppers because they look like tiny frogs and are very adept at jumping (Burrrows, 2003). Their nymphs, known as spittlebugs, cover themselves with foaming spittle to provide protection from predation, parasitism and desiccation (Hamilton, 1982; Li et al., 2013).

The family Procercopidae, as the earliest cercopod group, is recorded from the Early Jurassic to Early Cretaceous in Germany, Russia, Central Asia, Southeast Asia and China. Anthoscytina Hong, 1983, the largest genus within the Procercopidae, was erected on the basis of a complete tegmen from the Middle Jurassic of Beipiao, Liaoning in China (Hong, 1983). It was previously referred to the family Scytinopteridae Handlirsch, 1906 and later transferred to the Procercopidae (Shcherbakov, 1988). The tegmal lengths reported for species of Anthoscytina range from 10 mm to 15 mm.

Very recently the present authors collected some new giant procercopids from Daohugou in Northeast China, of which some are assigned to the genus Anthoscytina. We report herein on two of these species with tegmens of around 20 mm in length.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The fossils were collected from the Middle Jurassic Jiulongshan Formation in Daohugou Village, Ningcheng County, Chifeng City, Inner Mongolia, China. The palaeoenvironmental reconstructions indicate a humid and warm-temperate climate in the Middle Jurassic in the Daohugou area (Ren & Krszmeniiski, 2002; Wang et al., 2013). These deposits are well-known for yielding a highly diverse array of insects (e.g., Hou et al., 2012a, b; Yan & Wang, 2010; Chen J. et al., 2014), including very large numbers of cercopoids (Wang & Zhang, 2009; Wang et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013). All the material collected is housed in the Shandong Tianyu Museum of Nature (STMN) at Pingyi, Shandong, China.

The fossils were examined using a stereomicroscope (Zeiss SteREO Discovery V8). Photographs were taken using a Nikon D800 digital camera. Line drawings were prepared with two image-editing pieces of software (CorelDraw 12.0 and Adobe Photoshop CS3). In the line drawings, faintly seen and hypothesized regions are indicated by dotted lines, the edges of missing regions indicated by thin solid lines. All measurements were made using software ImageJ 1.42q (Wayne Rasband; National Institute of Health, USA).

Currently there is no consensus over the interpretation of vein nomenclature in Cicadomorpha (Wang et al., 2009). Herein, we tentatively follow Nel et al. (2012) and Bourgoin et al. (2014) with slight modifications.

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

Order Hemiptera Linnaeus, 1758
Suborder Cicadomorpha Evans, 1946
Superfamily Cicadopidea Leach, 1815
Family Procercopidae Handlirsch, 1906
Genus Anthoscytina Hong, 1983

Type species. Anthoscytina longa Hong, 1983; Haifanggou Formation, Beipao City, Liaoning, China; Middle Jurassic.

Species included. A. longa Hong, 1983; A. reducta (Becker-Migdisova, 1949); A. liugouensis (Hong, 1983); A. daica Scherberakov, 1988; A. parallelica Ren, Lu & Guo, 1995; A. tri-nervus (Ren, Lu & Guo, 1995); A. pustulosus (Ren, Lu & Guo 1995); A. perpetua Li, Shih & Ren, 2013; A. hongi Chen, Wang &
1983 and $A$. longa Hong, 1983, respectively. Furthermore, Wang et al. (2012) treated Mesocercopis Hong, 1983 and Sinotettergacta Hong, 1986 as synonyms of Anthoscytina Hong, 1983, but did not mention the validity of both Mesocercopis longa Hong, 1983 and Sinotettergacta longa Hong, 1986. For $M$. longa, the most important characters at the specific level are not included in the original description, so it is impossible to determine its species status until the type specimen is re-examined. Sinotettergacta longa is similar to $A$. longa in terms of the pattern in the venation and size, but distinctly differs from the latter in that on the tegmen $R$ is multi-branched and $M$ branches close to the apex of the wing.

Revised diagnosis. Fore femur robust, hind tibia long and slender, with a short lateral spine; Ovipositor short; tegmen with vein $R$ bifurcating near basal 1/3 wing length; RA simple or multi-branched; RP simple; M with at most 3 branches; CuA branching basal of or at the same level as the branch in $M$; cross vein $im$ absent; hind wing with vein RA and RP simple, M and CuA two-branched.

Remarks. Shcherbakov (1988) revised the generic diagnosis and transferred Cycloscytina reducta Becker-Migidisova, 1949 to this genus. He suggested that Para-cicadella Hong, 1983 and Paracicadella beipiaomensis Hong, 1983 are junior synonyms of Anthoscytina Hong, 1983 and $A$. longa Hong, 1983, respectively. Furthermore, Wang et al. (2012) treated Mesocercopis Hong, 1983 and Sinotettergacta Hong, 1986 as synonyms of Anthoscytina Hong, 1983, but did not mention the validity of both Mesocercopis longa Hong, 1983 and Sinotettergacta longa Hong, 1986. For $M$. longa, the most important characters at the specific level are not included in the original description, so it is impossible to determine its species status until the type specimen is re-examined. Sinotettergacta longa is similar to $A$. longa in terms of the pattern in the venation and size, but distinctly differs from the latter in that on the tegmen $R$ is multi-branched and $M$ branches close to the apex of the wing.
Anthoscytina hongi Chen, Wang & Zhang, nom. n.

Anthoscytina hongi Chen, Wang & Zhang, nom. n. for Anthoscytina longa (Hong, 1986: 14–15, Fig. 5, Pl. II: 3) comb. n. (described as Sinotetragarcta longa), a junior secondary homonym of Anthoscytina longa Hong, 1983: 61–62, Fig. 47, Pl. 10: 3.

Etymology. The specific epithet is named after Youchong Hong who described the species.

Remarks. This species is very similar to the type species of Anthoscytina, but differs from the latter in having a tegmen with cross veins ir and r-m almost at the same level. It is similar to A. daica and A. parallelica in having a tegmen with a multi-branched R and differs in its M1+2 and M3+4 are longitudinal and nearly parallel.

Anthoscytina brevineura Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n.

(Figs 1, 2)

Etymology. The specific epithet is derived from the Latin “brevis” (meaning short) and “neurus” (meaning vein), which refers to the extremely short cross vein m-cua.

Holotype. STMN48-1782, sex unknown, adult in ventral view with tegmen preserved.

Fig. 2. Paratypes of Anthoscytina brevineura Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n. A – STMH 48-1783; B – hind leg of 48-1783, under alcohol; C – line drawing of hind wing of 48-1783; D – STMH 48-1784a; E – STMH 48-1785; F – STMH 48-1786a. Scale bars = 2 mm.
Type locality. Daohugou Village, Shantou Township, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia, China.

Type horizon. Jiulongshan Formation, Middle Jurassic.

Paratypes. STMN48-1783, sex unknown, adult in dorsal view with tegmen and hind wing preserved; STMN48-1784, adult female in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved; STMN48-1785, adult male in lateral aspect with tegmen and hind wing preserved; STMN48-1786, sex unknown, adult in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved.

Diagnosis. Body large. Tegmen broad; membrane tinged with longitudinal stripes, and apical margin darkly stained; RA simple, connected with M1+2 by cross vein r–m just distal of junction with cross vein ir; stem M branching into M1+2 and M3+4 near base at 0.9 wing length; M1+2 short, transverse; M3+4 vertical, rectangular at junction with cross vein m–cua, and then transverse; cross vein m–cua extremely short; CuA1 parallel to M, geniculate at junction with cross vein m–cua, and then running subparallel to CuA2; CuA3 short and oblique. Hind wing, M1+2 and CuA nearly straight.

Description. Body 21.0–23.2 mm long including tegmen in repose (Figs 1, 2A, D–F). Postclypeus swollen, about 2.0 mm long, with weak oblique grooves and a distinct median groove. Compound eyes large, nearly round (Figs 1, 2F). Pronotum well-developed, approximately 3.0 mm wide. Fore femur extremely strong, about 2.5 mm long and 0.8 mm wide; fore tibia about 2.4 mm long (Fig 2D). Middle femur slenderer than fore femur, approximately 0.6 mm wide; middle tibia slightly longer than fore tibia, approximately 2.7 mm long; middle tarsus about 1.0 mm long, with three tarsomeres; apical tarsomere longer than mid tarsomere and basitarsomere; two claws and an arilium visible (Figs 2A, D). Hind tibia slender, about 3.5 mm long, with a short lateral spine 2/3 along its length and two rows of tiny teeth apically; hind tibia about 1.8 mm long; basitarsomere and mid tarsomere similar in shape, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthoscytina brevineura</td>
<td>STMN48-1782</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1783</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1784</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1785</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1786</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthoscytina elegans</td>
<td>STMN48-1787</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1788</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1789</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1790</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1791</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1792</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1793</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1794</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1795</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMN48-1796</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Holotype of Anthoscytina elegans Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n. A – photograph of part of STNH 48-1787a; B – photograph of part of STNH 48-1787a, under alcohol; C – photograph of the counterpart of STNH 48-1787b; D – illustration based on STNH 48-1787a. All to scale.
with a row of tiny apical denticles; apical tarsomere long and slender, with two strong claws and a distinct arolium (Figs 2A, B, D). Ovipositor short, not extending beyond pygofer, slightly curved upwards (Fig 2D). Male genitalia well-developed; sclerotized genital plate visible; anal tube elongate, curved downwards in lateral view (Fig 2E).

Tegmen broad, 18.2–20.3 mm long and 6.4–7.3 mm wide, with length/width ratio from 2.6 to 3.0 (Figs 1, 2A, D–F; Table 1). Tegmen tinged with longitudinal stripes and apical margin darkly stained. Costal margin slightly arched. Posterior margin curved at about basal 0.18 wing length and then slightly recurved at the ending of vein CuP. Apical margin rounded. Pec+CP running to costal margin and ScP running to and fusing with R+M+CuA. ScP+R separating from ScP+R+M in basal 0.2 region of wing length, and bifurcating into ScP+RA and RP in basal 1/3 wing length. RA simple, separating from ScP+RA, and then connected with RP by nearly vertical cross vein ir just before the end. RP simple, almost straight, connected with M_{1+2} by cross vein r-m just distal of junction with cross vein ir. Stem M subparallel to RP, and branching into M_{1+2} and M_{3+4} near basal 0.9 wing length. M_{1+2} short, longitudinal. M_{3+4} perpendicular to stem M, rectangular at junction with cross vein m-cua, and then longitudinal. Cross vein m-cua extremely short. Stem CuA bifurcating into CuA_{1} and CuA_{2} in basal 2/3 region of wing length. CuA_{1} parallel to M, geniculate at junction with cross vein m-cua, and then running subparallel to CuA_{2}. CuA_{2} short and oblique. CuA connected to CuP by long cross vein cua-cup at departure from M+CuA. CuP long and straight. A_{1} sinuous, strongly curved apically.

Hind wing partly preserved (Figs 2A, C, E–F). RP long, curved at junction with cross vein r-m. Stem M straight, slightly curved at junction with cross vein m-cua, then running subparallel to RP before branching into M_{1+2} and MP. M_{1+2} nearly straight, connected with RP by cross vein r-m. Stem CuA straight, two-branched. CuA_{1} connected to M by cross vein m-cua. CuA_{2} sinuous.

Remarks. Based on the tegmental venation, this new species can be distinguished from other species within the Anthoscytina in having an extremely short cross vein m-cua. This new species is similar to A. aphthosa in having cross veins ir, r-m, and m-cua extremely close to wing apex, but the new species is much larger in size and has a tegmen with vein M two-branched.

**Anthoscytina elegans** Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n. (Figs 3–5)

Etymology. The specific epithet is derived from the Latin “elegans” (meaning elegant), and refers to this species’ beautiful shape.

Holotype. STMN48-1787, sex unknown, adult in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved.

Type locality. Daohugou Village, Shantou Township, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia, China.

Type horizon. Jiulongshan Formation, Middle Jurassic.

Paratypes. STMN48-1788, sex unknown, adult in lateral aspect with tegmen and hind wing preserved; STMN48-1789, sex unknown, adult in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved; STMN48-1790, adult male in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved; STMN48-1791, adult male in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved; STMN48-1792, sex unknown, adult in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved; STMN48-1793, adult male in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved; STMN48-1794, adult male in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved; STMN48-1795, sex unknown,
adult in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved; STMN48-1796, adult female in lateral aspect with tegmen preserved.

**Diagnosis.** Body large. Tegmen broad; membrane with dark and irregular patches; stem M branching into M$_{1,2}$ and M$_{3,4}$ near basal 0.7 wing length; M$_{1,2}$ nearly as long as MP.

Cross vein $m$-$cua$ basal of or at the same level as cross vein $r$-$m$; Cu$A_1$ about two to three times as long as Cu$A_2$. Hind wing, RP curved just beyond junction with cross vein $r$-$m$; Cu$A_1$ connected with M by cross vein $m$-$cua$ just beyond departure from CuA and then almost straight.

Fig. 5. Paratypes of *Anthoscytina elegans* Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n. A – STMH 48-1790; B – STMH 48-1791a; C – STMH 48-1792; D – STMH 48-1793; E – STMH 48-1794a; F – STMH 48-1796. Scale bars = 2 mm.
**Description.** Body 23.1–25.8 mm long including tegmen in repose (Figs 3, 4A, B, 5). Postclypeus swollen, about 3.0 mm long, with oblique grooves and a distinct median groove. Compound eyes large, nearly round (Figs 3, 5A). Antennal length about 0.8 mm; scape and pedicel thick; flagellum aristiform, with segments invisible (Figs 3B, D). Pronotum well-developed, with width about 3.0 mm. Fore femur extremely strong, about 2.5 mm long and nearly 1.0 mm wide; fore tibia about 3.0 mm long, fore tarsus about 1.5 mm long, with three tarsomeres; basitarsomere very short; apical tarsomere longer than mid tarsomere; two claws and an arolium visible (Figs 4B, D, 5C, E). Middle femur and tibia almost as long as fore femur and tibia respectively; middle femur slenderer than fore femur; middle tarsus slightly shorter than fore tarsus, with three tarsomeres; apical tarsomere longer than mid tarsomere and basitarsomere; two claws and an arolium visible (Figs 5C, E). Hind tibia slender, with a short lateral spine on basal 2/3 of its length and two rows of tiny teeth apically; hind tarsus about 2.0 mm long, with three tarsomeres visible; basitarsomere and mid tarsomere of similar shape, and with a row of tiny apical denticles (Figs 5C–E). Male genitalia well-developed; sclerotized genital plate visible in lateral view; anal tube elongate, strongly curved (Figs 5A, D, E). Ovipositor short, just extending beyond pygofer, slightly curved upwards (Fig 5F).

Tegmen broad, 20.4–23.2 mm long and 7.3–8.6 mm wide, with length/width ratio from 2.6 to 2.9 (Figs 3, 4A, B, 5; Table 1). Tegmen with dark and irregular patches (Figs 3, 4A, B, 5A). Costal margin slightly arched. Posterior margin of basal 1/6 wing length curved and then receding at the ending of vein CuP. Apical margin rounded. Pe+CP running to costal margin and ScP running to and fusing with R+M+CuA. ScP+R bifurcating into ScP+RA and RP at about basal 0.3 wing length. RA simple, separating from ScP+RA, and then connected with RP by cross vein ir. RP simple, almost straight, connected with M1+2 by cross vein r-m just distal of junction with cross vein ir. Stem M1 subparallel to RP, and branching into M1+2 and M3+4 near basal 0.7 wing length. M1+2 nearly as long as MP. M1+2 nearly as long as MP. M1+2 nearly as long as MP.

**Remarks.** Anthoscytina elegans Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n. is very much bigger than the other species in the genus Anthoscytina. This new species resembles A. brevineura Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n., which is similar in size, venation patterns on tegmen and hind wing, and other body structures, but they differ in the length of cross vein m-cua, the branching position of stem M and colour patterns on tegmen.

**DISCUSSION**

There is considerable intra-specific or even intra-individual variation in the venation of some procercopids (e.g., Ansorge, 1996; Ren et al., 1998). Both of the two new species reported herein were discovered in the same horizon at the same locality (Jiulongshan Formation in Daohugou Village), are similar in size, tegminal venation and other body structures (Figs 1 and 2; Table 1), which indicates a close relationship. However, there are also some stable differences in venation and the colour patterns on the tegmen, which confirm that they are independent biological species. In addition, both species are represented by both male and female specimens, which exclude the possibility that the morphological variation is sexual dimorphism.

To date, thirteen species are assigned to Anthoscytina (Becker-Migdisova, 1949; Hong, 1983, 1986; Scherbakov, 1988; Ren, 1995; Ren et al., 1998; Li et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2014; Chen D. et al., 2015; this study), making it the largest genus of Procercopidae. These species are known from the Early Jurassic to Early Cretaceous in Russia, Central Asia and China, and show an extremely high diversity in tegminal venation as well as other body structures, indicating that this large genus is possibly the result of a poorly defined combination of characters, which might not validly reflect a natural subdivision (i.e., a polyphyletic group).

Ren et al. (1998) erected Anthoscytina aphthosa based on several compression fossils from the Lower Cretaceous in northern China, and the species is very special in having tegmen and hind wing with vein M simple (vs. tegmen with M with at least two branches and hind wing with M two-branched in other procercopids). Anthoscytina macula Hu, Yao & Ren, 2014, collected from contemporaneous strata at a nearby locality, is very similar to A. aphthosa in having similar wing venation. Chen D. et al. (2015) report a new procercopid with vein M on tegmen and hind wing simple on the basis of one fossil specimen collected at the same locality as A. aphthosa, but put this species into a new genus Stellularis. The unusual wing venation suggests that these three species are obviously different from the type species of Anthoscytina, and A. aphthosa and A. macula, and should be transferred to the genus Stellularis.

Li et al. (2013) report a pair of copulating procercopids, and erected a new species Anthoscytina perpetua. The abundant fossil material described by Li et al. (2013) indicate that the ovipositor of A. perpetua is short, not exceeding anal tube. A. daica Scherbakov, 1988, A. brevineura Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n.; and A. elegans Chen, Wang & Zhang, sp. n. also have short ovipositors. However, the ovipositors of A. aphthosa and S. longirostris are extremely long, exceeding the tip of the tegmina (Ren et al., 1998; Chen D. et al., 2015). This difference in the length of the ovipositors further confirms that Anthoscytina and Stellularis are two independent genera and A. aphthosa and A. macula should be transferred to Stellularis.
The family Procercopidae is widely accepted as the stem group of cercopoids, which includes the ancestors of modern Cercopoidea (Shcherbakov & Popov, 2002; Wang et al., 2012). Tegmen and hind wing with vein M unbranched are apomorphic characters for extant cercopoids. These characters are also shared by Stellularis from the Early Cretaceous in Northeastern China (Ren et al., 1998; Hu et al., 2014; Chen D. et al., 2015), suggesting that this genus possibly represents a transitional form between Procercopidae and recent Cercopoidea. By the mid-Cretaceous, the remaining procercopids became extinct and modern cercopoids (Aphrophoridae and Cercopoidea) appeared (Shcherbakov & Popov, 2002).
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