Pedicellar structures in Reduviidae (Heteroptera) - comments on cave organ and trichobothria
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Abstract. Sensillar structures of the antennal pedicel are investigated in Reduviidae and Pachynomidae. The cave organ, a presumably chemoreceptive structure, previously reported only for haematophagous Triatominae, is described here also for representatives of Peiratinae, Reduviinae and Stenopodainae. The systematic implication of the occurrence of this sensillar structure is discussed. Further, four sclerites located in the membrane between pedicel and preflagelloid are described and used as landmarks for the recognition of individual trichobothria in Reduviidae and Pachynomidae. Characters of the trichobothrial socket are studied and discussed systematically. Homology of the distalmost trichobothrium of Reduviidae with the single trichobothrium in Pachynomidae is proposed. This hypothesis is based on the structure of the cuticle surrounding the trichobothria and on the trichobothrial position relative to the four sclerites of the pedicello-flagellar articulation. The single trichobothrium present in most nymphs corresponds to the distalmost trichobothrium in adult Reduviidae in position and structural detail. A reasonable hypotheses on the homology of individual trichobothria of the proximal row or field seen in most Reduviidae can so far only be formulated for Peiratinae.

INTRODUCTION

Several features of the antennae of Heteroptera have been the subject of systematic observation and interpretation in recent years. Apart from an examination of antennal sclerites (Zrzavý, 1990a), the presence and distribution of sensory structures have especially attracted interest (McIver & Siemicki, 1984; Wygodzinsky & Lodhi, 1989; Zrzavý, 1990b; Catalá, 1997; Gracco & Catalá, 2000). Barth (1952) noted a presumably sensory structure within the distal portion of the pedicel in haematophagous Triatominae. This structure was recently described in detail in representatives of Rhodnius, Triatoma and Panstrongylus (Triatominae) and referred to as "cave organ" (Catalá & Schodfield, 1994; Catalá et al. 1998). In these taxa, the cavity is 40 to 150 μm long and its epithelium contains bipolar neurons with sensillar projections (Catalá et al. 1998). Suggested functions of this structure in haematophagous Triatominae include response to heat stimuli (Barth, 1952; Lazzari & Wikleijn, 1994) or chemical cues (Catalá et al. 1998). There is one ambiguous indication of this structure in non-haematophagous Reduviidae. Dougherty (1985) described an opaque oval structure around the distalmost pedicellar trichobothrium in Ectrichodiinae which she referred to as part of "Barth's organ", considering it the structure described by Barth (1952). In the present study, the pedicels of representatives of 18 higher rank taxa of Reduviidae and of representatives of its sistergroup Pachynomidae are examined for the cave organ.

Other sensory structures reinvestigated in this study are trichobothria present on the antennal pedicel in Reduviidae and Pachynomidae (Wygodzinsky & Lodhi, 1989; Zrzavý, 1990b). Trichobothria are long, slender and erect mechanoreceptive setae which often possess a prominent socket and may respond to air movements (Schuh, 1975). Within Heteroptera, trichobothria may occur on various parts of the body and appear to be of systematic value in several groups (Schafer, 1975; Schuh, 1975). Reduviidae and their sistergroup Pachynomidae (Carayon & Villiers, 1968) possess different numbers and arrangements of trichobothria on the pedicel (Wygodzinsky & Lodhi, 1989). Their pattern was interpreted in a phylogenetic scheme by Zrzavý (1990b). He divided the pedicel into proximal and distal parts and defined six types of trichobothrial patterns according to number of trichobothria present in the respective compartment. These types of trichobothrial patterns were then assigned to reduviid subfamilies arranged according to the phylogenetic scheme by Puchkov (1987). This study aims to provide further structural and positional information on the antennal trichobothria in Reduviidae and Pachynomidae which might lead to the proposition of homology of individual trichobothria among taxa.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

To study the cave organ and the pedicellar trichobothria, entire antennae were cleared in KOH (10%) for 12 to 24 hours at room temperature. In so treated antennae only sclerotised structures are retained. Structures of the cave organ visible after this treatment are the cuticular invagination, including the cuticle-lined sensory projections. Depending on colour and degree of sclerotization, pedicels with only faint contrast were stained with Chlorazol Black E after clearing. Antennae were mounted in glycerol on slides and observed on a Leitz Diaplan and a Leitz Axioplan. Drawings were made using a camera lucida on the Leitz Diaplan. Photographs were taken with a Fujifilm FinePix S1 Pro digital camera. To check for individual variation, usually pedicels of both antennae of each specimen were used as well as antennae of several specimens when possible.
For scanning electron microscopy, antennae were removed from the head, cleaned in warm water with detergent, dehydrated, critical point dried in a BAL-TEC CPD 030, coated with gold in a BALZERS UNION SCD 014 and observed in a PHILIPS SEM 515 or a LEO 430.

For histological study of the trichobothrial socket and the pedicello-flagellar articulation, a 5th instar nymph of Triatoma dimidiatu (Laettirle) and a 5th instar nymph of Peirates sp. were fixed in an alcoholic-acetic acid-formalin (8:1:1) - fixative or 70% alcohol respectively. Entire heads were removed, dehydrated and embedded in Kulzer’s Technovit 7100. 2 μm sections were obtained on a R. Jung microtome, transferred to slides, stained with eosine and toluidine blue, and covered using Eukitt and cover slips dipped in Rotihistol.

Supplementary investigation of trichobothrial arrangements of taxa not examined by Wygodzinsky & Lodhi (1989), the Ectinoderinae and Diaspidinae, were carried out on a dissection microscope.

Representatives of the following taxa were observed with the light microscope. Species examined additionally in the SEM are marked with a (S). (N) indicates that nymphs were observed, (M) stands for male and (F) for female. (DM) refers to observation with the dissection microscope only, which allows statements on number and arrangement of trichobothria as well as presence or absence of an oval membrane surrounding trichobothria. It does not allow the observation of the presence or absence of the cave organ and structural detail of the trichobothrial socket.

The extent and arrangement of subfamilies follows Malloch in the Ectinoderinae and Diaspidinae, carried out on a dissection microscope.

The term “harpactoroid groups” is employed sensu Davis & Chopardita mira Villiers (M, DM), Pessonaria argentina Wygodzinsky (M, DM).

Outgroup representatives studied: Pachynomidae: Aphephonotus sp. (M), Pachynomus picipes (Klug) (M), Punctius alitaceus (Stål) (F).

Terminology of antennal sclerites follows Zrzavý (1990a). The terms “external” and “internal face” of the antenna refer to the lateral and medial side respectively when the antenna is directed anteriorly (Fig. 1a).

Abbreviations.

ann - antennal nerve
avv - antennal vessel
bf - basiflagellum
bsco - base of sensory cell with hairlike projection of cave organ
cce - cuticular cylinder of trichobothrial socket
cco - cavity of cave organ
dco - duct of cave organ
dm - dome-shaped part of the trichobothrial socket
dsl - dorsal selerite of the pedicello-preflagelloidal membrane
en - endocuticle
ep - epidermis
ex - exocuticle
oco opening of cave organ
om - oval membrane surrounding the distalmost trichobothrium
pd - pedicel
pf - preflagellum
pm - pedicello-preflagelloidal membrane
psco - projection of sensory cell of cave organ
rle - receptor lymph cavity
sec - sensory cell of trichobothrium
sc - scolopidial sensillum
sca - scape
sco elongated dorsal sela at the base of the pedicel in Peiratinae
tr - trichobiorthium
tra - trachea
trb - basal plate of trichobothrial seta
ts trichoid seta
vvlse - ventrolateral selerite of the pedicello-preflagelloidal membrane
vmscl - ventromedial selerite of the pedicello-preflagelloidal membrane
Pygolampis bidentata, Pygolampis spurca representatives of these taxa. Among Stenopodainae, the pedicello-flagellar articulation, showing distalmost trichobothrium, cave organ and structures of the pedicello-flagellar articulation; c - campaniform sensillar sclerite; d - campaniform sensillum; pm - pedicello-preflagelloidal membrane; sc - scolopidium; sca - scape; tr - triche; tra - trachea; ts - trichoid seta; vlscl - ventrolateral sclerite; vmscl - ventromedial sclerite - campaniform sensillar sclerite; dco - duct of cave organ; do - duct of cave organ, opening ventral and proximal to the distalmost trichobothrium in Reduviidae (Fig. 1b). The cave organ shows a high degree of fine structural resemblance in representatives of these taxa. The cuticle-lined duct invaginates from the opening without possessing sensory projections (Fig. 2a, c). The duct opens into a cavity whose integument bears sensory projections (Fig. 2a, c). The size of the cavity ranges from 30 μm (P. bidentata) to 140 μm (P. biguttata). The number of sensory projections within the cavity may range from about 20 in Reduvius spp. and Oncocephalus sp. to over 100 in P. biguttata (Fig. 2b) and O. chinai.

**The pedicello-flagellar articulation**

The pedicello-flagellar articulation is here described in some detail because of its relevance as a landmark for the recognition of the distalmost trichobothrium in Reduviidae and Pachynomidae. A cylindrical sclerotisation, the preflagelliform, is present between pedicel and basiflagellum in Reduviidae and Pachynomidae (Fig. 1b, c, d). As it is in many other Heteroptera (Zrzavý, 1990a). The less sclerotised region between pedicel and preflagellum is thus termed the pedicello-preflagelliform membrane. Four elongated sclerites are embedded in this membrane in Pachynomus picipes and in Reduviidae (Fig. 1d). Three of these sclerites are visible from the external side of the pedicel. Among these, the dorsal sclerite and the ventrolateral sclerite are slender stripes without associated sensory structures. The sclerite beneath the dorsal sclerite bears proximally a large campaniform sensillum in all Reduviidae and in Pachynomus picipes. This sclerite is therefore termed the campaniform sensillum, but it was not found in Oncocephalus octonotatus, Diaditus sp., Kodormus bruneous, Stenopoda subinermis and Thodelmus impicticornis. In Reduviinae, a cave organ is present in Acanthaspis sp., Centrogonus signatipennis, Edoca sp., Leogorrus liturata, Opisthacacidus chinai, Paredocla planqueeti, Platynemis biguttata, Reduvius personatus, Reduvius tenuicornis and Zelurus spinidorsis, whereas no cave organ was observed in Alloceorcanum maculatum and the two species of Velitra studied. Among Peiratinæ, only Peirates stridulus has a cave organ. Among the taxa of Triatominae which were not studied by Català et al. (1998), Eraurus mucronatus and Panstrongylus geniculatus also possess a cave organ, whereas this structure was not found in Dipetalogaster maximus.

There is no cave organ in any representative of Phymatinae, Holopitilinae, Hammacercinae, Ectrichodiinae, Saicini, Emesinae, Cetherinae, Physoderinae, Salyavatiae, Tribeloccephalinae, Vesciinae or of the harpactoroid groups. Furthermore, no cave organ was found in Aphelemonus sp., Pachynomus picipes and Punctius alutaceus (Pachynomidae), representatives of the sistertaxon of Reduviidae (Carayon & Villiers, 1968; Schuh & Stys, 1991). The cave organ is also absent in nymphs of those species whose adults possess this organ (Oncocephalus sp., Reduvius personatus, Platynemis biguttata).

The cave organ in Stenopodainae, Reduviinae, Peirates and Triatominae is observed in a corresponding position within the distal portion of the pedicel, with the opening ventral and proximal to the distalmost trichobothrium (Fig. 1b). The cave organ shows a high degree of fine structural resemblance in representatives of these taxa. The cuticle-lined duct invaginates from the opening without possessing sensory projections (Fig. 2a, c). The duct opens into a cavity whose integument bears sensory projections (Fig. 2a, c, d). The size of the cavity ranges from 30 μm (P. bidentata) to 140 μm (P. biguttata). The number of sensory projections within the cavity may range from about 20 in Reduvius spp. and Oncocephalus sp. to over 100 in P. biguttata (Fig. 2b) and O. chinai.

**RESULTS**

**Cave organ**

The antennal pedicel of Peiratinae, Reduviinae, Stenopodainae and Triatominae may possess a cuticle-lined invagination, which will subsequently be referred to as cave organ. However, the cave organ was not found in all representatives of these taxa. Among Stenopodainae, the cave organ is present in Oncocephalus sp., Pnirontis sp., Pygolampis bidentata, Pygolampis spurca and Staccia

---

**Fig. 1.** Pedicellar structures in Reduviidae. a - Reduvius tenuicornis, male, dorsal aspect of head; b - Reduvius tenuicornis, male, distal portion of right antennal pedicel, showing distalmost trichobothrium, cave organ and structures of the pedicello-flagellar articulation; c - Platymeris biguttata, male, SEM of distal portion of left pedicel, showing position of the distalmost trichobothrium and the sclerite surrounding the campaniform sensillum; d - Peirates sp., 5th instar nymph, reconstruction of pedicello-flagellar articulation, showing position of the four sclerites. Abbreviations: ann - antennal nerve (exterior side of the two antennal nerves); anv - antennal vessel; bf - basiflagellum; cco - cavity of cave organ; cd - circular depression surrounding trichobothrium; cs - campaniform sensillum; csscl - campaniform sensillar sclerite; dco - duct of cave organ; do - dome-shaped part of trichobothrial socket; dscl - dorsal sclerite; en - endocuticle; ep - epidermis; ex - exocuticle; oco - opening of cave organ; om - oval membrane; ped - pedicel; pf - preflagelliform; pm - pedicello-preflagelloidal membrane; sc - scolopidium; sca - scape; tr - triche; tra - trachea; ts - trichoid seta; vlscl - ventrolateral sclerite; vmscl - ventromedial sclerite bearing trichoid seta.
sensillar sclerite. The fourth and ventromedial sclerite is located ventrally on the internal side of the pedicel and bears a single short trichoid seta on its proximal tip (Fig. 1d). The four sclerites may differ in the degree of sclerotisation among taxa and may thus sometimes be hard to detect. The relative length of the dorsal sclerite and the campaniform sensillar sclerite usually does not exceed the extension figured (Fig. 1d). However, in Eupheno pallens and Cethera musiva, the dorsal and campaniform sensillar sclerites are very long and slender.

Many scolopidia are attached to the pedicellar-preflagelloidal articulation in Pachynomus picipes and Reduviidae. These scolopidia constitute Johnston’s organ (Fig. 1d).

Trichobothria: Fine structure, systematic distribution and homology

Trichobothria are delicate mechanoreceptive setae, comprising a long and thin seta and a usually prominent socket (Fig. 3). The externally visible portion of the trichobothrial socket is dome-shaped (Fig. 3c, d, e, f, h). The socket continues internally as a sclerotised cylinder (Fig. 3h). In Reduviidae and in Pachynomus picipes, the socket is usually set within a circular depression of the cuticle which may bear cuticular ridges (Fig. 3c, d). The base of the trichobothrial seta is enlarged into a basal plate (Fig. 3b). The trichobothrium is innervated by one sensory neuron in Triatoma dimidiata (Fig. 3h). Further, the trichobothrium, including the circular depression, may be surrounded by an oval or circular weakly sclerotised area in Reduviidae and in P. picipes (Fig. 3a, h), referred to as the oval or circular membrane in this study.

The systematic distribution of trichobothria in Reduviidae and Pachynomidae was examined in detail by Wygodzinsky & Lodhi (1989), supplemented by Zrzavy (1990b), and is summarised here briefly: The majority of adult Reduviidae possesses numerous pedicellar trichobothria, whereas Pachynomus picipes, Phymatinae, Centroceninae, Phimophorinae and part of Hammerscerinae have only one trichobothrium. Nymphs of Reduviidae are usually equipped with only a single trichobothrium, although nymphs of Harpactorinae and Apiomerinae possess several trichobothria. Before these data may be employed in a systematic analysis, several questions should be addressed: Is the single trichobothrium of Pachynomus picipes homologous with one individual trichobothrium observed in Reduviidae, possibly the distalmost trichobothrium of Reduviidae which appears to be in a similar position? Is the single trichobothrium present in some Reduviidae homologous with an individual trichobothrium in the remaining reduviid taxa and/or the single trichobothrium present in Pachynomidae? Which of the adult trichobothria is the one already present in nymphs?

What is the homologue of the single trichobothrium of Pachynomidae among the trichobothria in Reduviidae?

The single trichobothrium in Pachynomus picipes is situated in line with the campaniform sensillar sclerite on the external side of the distal portion of the pedicel. In Reduviidae, the distalmost of the pedicellar trichobothria is observed to be in the same position relative to the campaniform sensillar sclerite (Fig. 1b). Further, a light-coloured oval membrane, recognised in the SEM by its rippled surface, surrounds the single trichobothrium in all Pachynomidae and occurs in most Reduviidae exclusively around the distalmost trichobothrium (Fig. 1b, c, 3a, h). The distalmost trichobothrium in Reduviidae and the single trichobothrium in Pachynomus picipes are thus proposed to be homologous based on their position relative to the campaniform sensillar sclerite and on the structure of the surrounding cuticle.

Both criteria also apply for the distalmost trichobothrium in Chopardita mira (Vescinaceae). However, the distalmost trichobothrium in this species is set in the middle of the pedicel rather than close to the pedicelloflagellar articulation.
Is the single pedicellar trichobothrium in Phymatinae, Holoptilinae and Hammacerinae homologous with the single trichobothrium in Pachynomidae and thus with the distalmost trichobothrium of remaining Reduviidae?

The single trichobothrium in Phymatinae, Holoptilinae and some Hammacerinae is situated in the same position.
relative to the campaniform sensillar sclerite of the pedicel-flagellar articulation as the single trichobothrium in Pachynamidae and the distalmost trichobothrium in the remaining Reduviidae. However, no oval membrane surrounds the trichobothrium in these taxa. Furthermore, the trichobothrial socket is not located within a circular depression as in the trichobothria of the remaining taxa of Reduviidae and in P. picipes. Only the weakly elevated dome of the trichobothrial socket surrounds the base of the trichobothrial seta in Phymata praestans (Fig. 3e) and in Microtomus sp. (Fig. 3f).

Zrzavy (1990b) believed trichobothria to be absent in Holoptilinae. A single distal trichobothrium, however, was found in a representative of Pilocnemus sp. (Fig. 3g) in this study. The trichobothrium in Pilocnemus is distinguished from the surrounding setae by its prominent socket (socket of setae narrow), by its length (294 μm; n = 1; setae: 207.7 ± 6.6 μm; n = 4) and its diameter (3.45 μm at base; setae: 4.8 ± 0.3 μm; n = 3). As in P. praestans and Microtomus sp., neither a circular depression nor an oval membrane are present in Pilocnemus sp.

The distally located single trichobothrium of Centroceninae remains to be studied in detail, as does the single trichobothrium of Phimophorinae. For the hammacerine genus Homalocoris a basal trichobothrium was described in addition to the distal trichobothrium (Wygodzinsky & Lodhi, 1989). My observation indicates, however, that this basal structure is a long and slender seta instead of a trichobothrium.

Which trichobothrium of adult Reduviidae is the single trichobothrium of nymphs?

The single trichobothrium present in most nymphs is set in exactly the same position on the pedicel relative to the campaniform sensillar sclerite as the distalmost trichobothrium of the adult. Further, the oval membrane characteristic of the distalmost trichobothrium in the adult also occurs around the single nymphal trichobothrium (Fig. 3h). Thus, ontogenetic persistence of the single trichobothrium of the nymph as the distalmost trichobothrium in the adult is hypothesised. This is further corroborated by examination of a pharate adult of Platymeris bigutta (hence still enclosed in the cuticle of the 5th nymphal instar): The distalmost adult trichobothrium in this specimen was visible just beneath the single nymphal trichobothrium.

In nymphs of Microtomus sp. and Phymata monstrosa, a single trichobothrium is present in the same position as in the adult. Corresponding to the condition in their adults, they lack the oval membrane and circular depression.

As observed by Wygodzinsky & Lodhi (1989), nymphs of Harpactorinae and Apiomerinae possess more than one trichobothrium. Observations on nymphs of Ectinoderus nitidus and Diaspidius sp. in this study indicate, that they are also equipped with several proximal trichobothria in addition to the single, distal trichobothrium of remaining reduvid nymphs.

Nymphs of Pachynomidae are unknown (Schuh & Slater, 1995).

The proximal trichobothria

In the majority of Reduviidae, a proximal row of trichobothria is present in addition to the distalmost trichobothrium. For documentation see Zrzavy (1990b) and Wygodzinsky & Lodhi (1989). There is no oval membrane surrounding the socket of these proximal trichobothria in Cetherinae, Ectrichodinae, Physoderinae, Reduviinae, Salyvatininae, Stenopodinae, Triatominae, Tribelocephalinae, Saicinae and Emesinae (Fig. 3b). However, exceptions are observed in Peiratinae and harpactoroid groups. In Peiratinae all trichobothria are surrounded by an oval membrane (Fig. 4a-d) similar to the membrane of the distalmost trichobothrium in other Reduviidae. In Apiomerinae, Harpactorinae and Tegeminae the trichobothria of the proximal row are surrounded by small circular membranes. Their diameters differ along the pedicel: They tend to be narrower around the more proximal trichobothriathan around the more distally located trichobothria.

Are there features to distinguish and homologise individual proximal trichobothria?

The number of trichobothria differs between species as well as between higher level taxa. Trichobothria are mostly of similar length. Furthermore, the structure of the socket and surrounding cuticle does not provide diagnostic characters and the position of individual trichobothria is hard to define due to lack of landmarks. In many Reduviidae, the more proximal trichobothria tend to be located more ventrally than the more distal trichobothria (see Wygodzinsky & Lodhi, 1989: e.g., Figs 11d, 12c, 13b). However, this tendency does not provide clear cut characters. Homology of individual proximal trichobothria between higher level taxa thus appears to be impossible so far.

However, within one of these taxa, the Peiratinae, homologues might be tentatively proposed.

Homology of trichobothria in Peiratinae

Peiratinae possess a total of 10 or 11 trichobothria. All trichobothria are surrounded by an oval membrane, which renders one of the criteria identifying the distalmost trichobothrium in other Reduviidae inapplicable. The trichobothrum closest to the pedicel-flagellar articulation is furthermore not exactly in line with the campaniform sensillar sclerite, but set more ventrally. Therefore, homology of the distalmost trichobothrium of Peiratinae with the distalmost in other Reduvidae is likely but tentative. In Peiratinae, a large basal campaniform sensillum of apparently stable position, a very stout and elongated dorsal seta, and an area without trichobothria provide additional landmarks. The length of individual trichobothria differs and the position of individual trichobothria may be described employing their orientation and relation to other trichobothria.

For Rasahus amapaensis, which possesses 11 trichobothria, numbers were introduced referring to individual trichobothria. The numbering proceeds from the base to the tip of the pedicel and the following features charac-
Fig. 4. Homology of pedicellar trichobothria in different Peiratinae and Reduvius personatus (Reduviinae). Pedicle of left antenna seen from external side; in the drawing the dorsal side is on the right, the distal is on top. a – Rasahus amapaensis; b – Sirthenea stria; c – Tydides rufus; d – Melanolestes morio; e – Peirates stridulus; f – Reduvius personatus. Abbreviations: cs – campaniform sensillum, dorsally at the base of the pedicel; dtr – distalmost trichobothrium; se – dorsal seta at the base of the pedicel in Peiratinae.

terise the given trichobothrium in R. amapaensis (Fig. 4a).

Trichobothria 1 to 3 are set ventromedially on the pedicel and they point ventrally. A campaniform sensillum lies closest to trichobothrium 2. Trichobothrium 3 is longer than trichobothria 1 and 2. Trichobothria 4 and 5 are positioned ventrally and in one line. Trichobothria 6 and 7 are located on the external side and are set very close to each other. Trichobothrium 6 is very long. Trichobothrium 8 is observed also on the external side; it is in line with trichobothrium 7 and about half way between trichobothrium 7 and 9. Trichobothrium 9 is also located on the external side, is extremely long and proximal to a region which lacks trichobothria. Trichobothria 10 and 11 are set on the external side in line with each other, and are separated from the more proximal trichobothria by the area devoid of trichobothria, a “gap”.

Trichobothrial arrangement in representatives of other genera of Peiratinae was compared to this scheme and homology was proposed for individual trichobothria which were numbered accordingly.

The homology of trichobothria 1 to 3 in R. amapaensis, Sirthenea stria, Tydides rufus, Melanolestes morio and Peirates stridulus is proposed due to their ventromedial position (Fig. 4a-c). Trichobothrium 3 is longer than the two proximal trichobothria also in S. stria, T. rufus and M. morio. Homology of trichobothria 10 and 11 also appears to be rather unambiguous. They are observed in a distal position on the pedicel, separated by the “gap” also in S. stria, T. rufus, M. morio and P. stridulus. However, in T. rufus, a third trichobothrium is close to them, which might be either a trichobothrium without homologue in other taxa (“10a”) or trichobothrium 9 set more distally than in other Peiratinae. Homology of trichobothrium 9 among Peiratinae may also be proposed due to its position proximad of the “gap”. However, its identity is uncertain in T. rufus. The situation is less clear cut among trichobothria 4 to 8, for which homologies are proposed only tentatively (Fig. 4b-d).

However, problems arise when this scheme is transferred to other subfamilies of Reduviidae. On the pedicel of Reduvius personatus (Reduviinae) (Fig. 4f) only 7 trichobothria are observed. The two most proximal trichobothria might be homologous to trichobothria 1 and 2 in Peiratinae, because of their proximity to the campaniform sensillum and rather ventral position. For the remaining trichobothria, all of equal and moderate length and similar orientation, no proposition of homology was attempted.

DISCUSSION
Implication of systematic distribution of the cave organ within Reduviidae.

Based on fine structure (Fig. 1c-f) and position (Fig. 1a), homology of the cave organ described in Triatominae by Barth (1952) and Catalá et al. (1998) with the structure described in Stenopodainae, Peiratinae and Reduviinae in this study is proposed (Table 1). This sensillar structure is absent in the remaining Reduviidae as well as in Pachynomidae. The cave organ might thus present an apomorphy of Triatominae, Stenopodainae, Peiratinae and
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Reduviinae. However, this structure is absent in several taxa of Peiratinae, Reduviinae and Stenopodainae as well as Dipetalogaster maximus among Triatominae. Several hypotheses may be formulated in order to explain the observed systematic distribution of the cave organ: Assuming the monophyly of each of the taxa involved (Peiratinae, Reduviinae, Stenopodainae, Triatominae), the absence of the cave organ in representatives of these taxa might be interpreted as secondary loss. However, at least for Reduviinae, no conclusive evidence for monophyly has been established yet, and possibly Reduviinae possessing a cave organ might be more closely related to Stenopodainae, Peiratinae and Triatominae than Reduviinae without this structure. Finally, the cave organ might have been acquired independently within each taxon, but this appears unlikely judging from the corresponding fine structural detail. In order to test these hypotheses, a phylogenetic analysis of reduviid higher taxa has to be conducted including the presence of the cave organ as one of the characters, thus testing its congruence with other characters. Further, several higher level taxa remain to be investigated for the cave organ (e.g. Sphaeridioptera).

Prior to this study, the cave organ was only reported for Triatominae (Catalá et al., 1998). The monophyly of Triatominae was suggested by Lent & Wygodzinsky (1979), based on sparse morphological evidence, but was not accepted by all authors (Schofield, 1988). Recently, the hypothesis on monophyletic Triatominae was corroborated by a molecular study (Hypa et al., 2002). However, not much evidence has been published with regard to possible sistergroups of Triatominae. Lent & Wygodzinsky (1979) tentatively suggested Physoderinae as the possible sistergroup on what appears to be rather superficial similarity of the labium. The presence of the cave organ in representatives of Stenopodainae, Peiratinae and Reduviinae - but not in Physoderinae - suggests that the sister-taxon of Triatominae might be found among these taxa.

No cave organ in Ectrichodiniinae

Dougherty (1985) reported a “Barth’s organ” in Ectrichodiniinae. She described and illustrated a “light-colored, membranous oval” associated with the distalmost trichobothrium and deduced from this external feature the presence of a structure homologous with the organ described by Barth (1952) in Triatominae. My results indicate that no relation exists between the oval membrane surrounding the distalmost trichobothrium on one hand and the presence of the cave organ on the other. A cave organ sensu Barth (1952) and Catalá et al. (1998) was not found in Ectrichodiniinae in this study.

Comment on structure and possible functions of the cave organ

The dimensions of the cave organ within Triatominae, Peiratinae, Reduviinae and Stenopodainae may differ among species. Catalá et al. (1998) presented drawings of the cave organ of four Triatoma-species, indicating that the length of the cavity in this genus may range between 40 µm in Triatoma sordida and 150 µm in Triatoma dimidiata. Catalá et al. (1998) stated that size of the cave organ does not correlate with the dimensions of the pedicel. However, the larger specimens of T. dimidiata actually possess a more spacious cave organ than the small individuals of T. sordida, indicating that there might by a correlation between body size and size of the cave organ after all. This correlation is also reflected by the small cave organ of Pygolampis (small individuals) versus the large cave organ in Platymeris biguttata (one of the largest Reduviidae).

Possible functions suggested for the cave organ in hematophagous Triatominae are heat sensation for locating a vertebrate host (Barth, 1952), sensation of thermal stimuli (Lazzari & Wiklein, 1994) and olfactory reception, “possibly enabling molecular testing during flight” (Catalá et al. 1998). In those Reduviidae which are known to prey on arthropods, e.g., Stenopodainae, Reduviinae and Peiratinae, a function of the cave organ as a heat receptor in the context of prey location is unlikely. The ultrastructural description of the cave organ receptors (Catalá et al., 1998) appears to correspond to that of chemoreceptive sensilla (Almner & Prillinger, 1980). An accumulation of olfactory receptors in a depression on the antennal funiculus was described by Kaissling (1971) in calyptrate flies and postulated to be stimulated especially during flight. This might be also true for the reduviid cave organ, as stated by Catalá et al. (1998), although air movement into the blind cavity via the narrow duct should be rather restricted. Further, a well developed cave
organ is present in males and females of the apterous *Paredocla planquettei*, indicating that this structure may also function on the ground.

No sexual dimorphism was observed for the cave organ and it was never found in a nymph.

**The pedicello-basiflagellar articulation and the pedicel in *Pachynomus picipes***

The totality of structures associated with the membrane between the pedicel and preflagellomeres defines unambiguously the pedicello-basiflagellar articulation in Reduviidae as well as in *Pachynomus picipes*.

In Pachynomidae, the antenna does not consist of scape, pedicel and two flagellomeres as in Reduviidae, but comprises five articles separated by intercalary nodes (Carayon & Villiers, 1968). The presence of the single trichobothrium on the third article in Pachynomidae, which was proposed to be homologous with one of the pedicellorhabdial trichobothria in Reduviidae, led to the assumption that in Pachynomidae it is the pedicel which has undergone division (Wygodzinsky & Lodhi, 1989). On the other hand, the pachynomid trichobothrium was proposed to be homologous with one of the trichobothria in Reduviidae because of its presence on the pedicel, leading to some circularity of reasoning. Zrzavý (1990a) reported the subdivision of the pedicel in Pachynomidae, and the presence of an intrapedicelliform, without specifying his arguments. Here, the pedicello-basiflagellar articulation is identified with reference to the sclerites and sensory structures described - structures not present on or around the intrapedicelliform, thus corroborating the assumption of a subdivided pedicel in Pachynomidae, with the trichobothrium being located on its distal portion.

The sensory structures associated with the sclerites of this articulation, the dorsal campaniform sensillum and the ventromedian trichoid sensillum, may play a role in monitoring the position of the flagellomeres in addition to Johnston’s organ. Trichoid setae are commonly found in insects around joints, assisting there in proprioception as are campaniform sensilla (Chapman, 1991).

**Implication of systematic distribution of trichobothria**

The single trichobothrium in Pachynomidae and the distalmost trichobothrium in Reduviidae are homologous based on position and fine structure including the circular depression and the oval membrane surrounding the socket (Table 1). Presence of this trichobothrium is a synapomorphy for Pachynomidae and Reduviidae.

An oval structure around the distalmost trichobothrium was illustrated for several taxa by Wygodzinsky & Lodhi (1989), but the authors did not comment on its nature. Further, Dougherty (1985) reported the presence of a “membranous oval” in Ectrichodiinae. The oval membrane in Reduviidae appears to conform to the definition of a trichoma presented by Schuh (1975), who referred to it as a “group of spicules or a modified cuticular area” surrounding the trichobothrium. However, a field of microtrichia as around the femoral trichobothria of many Miridae (Schuh 1975) is not observed on the oval membrane of Reduviidae, and the trichoma in Miridae have not shown to be membranous. The two structures do not appear to be homologous.

An oval membrane around the distalmost trichobothrium as documented in this study is here assumed to be plesiomorphic for Reduviidae due to its presence in Pachynomidae. In contrast, no membrane is observed around the single trichobothrium in Hammacerinae, Phymatinae and Holoptilinae. However, this trichobothrium is assumed to be homologous to the distalmost trichobothrium in the remaining Reduviidae due to its position relative to the campaniform sensillar sclerite. The loss of the oval membrane surrounding the trichobothrium as well as the loss of the circular depression are derived features in these taxa, Hammacerinae, Holoptilinae and Phymatinae appear thus apomorphic in their loss of the membrane around the trichobothrial socket as well as the circular depression, but plesiomorphic in their possession of only one distal trichobothrium. Phymatinae and Holoptilinae together with Elasmodeminae have long been proposed to constitute a monophyletic group, the phymatin complex (Carayon et al., 1958), e.g., on the basis of their unique genitalia. The corresponding structure of the single trichobothrium in *Microtamus* sp. might indicate an affinity of Hammacerinae with this complex, if one assumes that loss of the circular depression and membrane did not occur independently in these taxa.

A single trichobothrium was also seen in Phimophorinae (Wygodzinsky & Lodhi, 1989), which could not be investigated in this study. Further, data for Elasmodeminae are missing entirely.

The presence of a row or field of pedicellar trichobothria proximal of the distalmost trichobothrium is the derived condition within Reduviidae (Zrzavý, 1990b). This character is shared by Ectrichodiinae, Reduviinae, Peiratinae, Physodematinae, Stenopodinae, Triatominae, Tribelocephalinae, Cetherinae, Salyavatinae, Saicinae, Emesinae, representatives of the hiraptoroid groups examined in this study and by Wygodzinsky & Lodhi (1989), and Spaheridopinae and Vesciinae as observed by Wygodzinski & Lodhi (1989). The triatomine *Belminus* was cited by Zrzavý (1990b) as possessing only one tri­chobothrium. Wygodzinsky & Lodhi (1989) illustrated one trichobothrium in *Belminus peruvianus*, but the two specimens of *Belminus peruvianus* figured by Lent & Wygodzinsky (1979) possessed four trichobothria. Trichobothria are easily overlooked when broken off close to the socket, so four trichobothria may assumed to be present in *Belminus*.

Zrzavý (1990b) created a trichobothrial pattern of type V exclusively for Vesciinae, defined by the presence of only a few trichobothria proximally on the pedicel and thus suggesting the absence of a trichobothrium homolo­gous to the distalmost trichobothrium in other Reduviidae. However, the distalmost trichobothrium in *Chapardita mira* is easily recognised by the oval membrane and its position in line with the campaniform sensillar sclerite. A possibly derived proximal shift of the distalmost trichobothrium towards the middle of the
pedicel rather than the absence of this trichobothrium can thus be proposed for *Chopardita mira*.

Hypotheses on homology of individual trichobothria because of their relative position along the proximal portion of the pedicel do not appear to be formulated easily. Even within smaller groups such as Peiratinae, homology is proposed only tentatively. In Peiratinae, the supposition of homology relies on different lengths of trichobothria, positions in relation to each other and positions in relation to landmarks. Homology of the three most proximal trichobothria may be proposed, as well as that of the trichobothria 9 to 11. These trichobothria should already have been present in this arrangement in the groundplan of Peiratinae. Assuming that trichobothria of equal and moderate length are plesiomorphic for Peiratinae, as this is the condition observed in most other Reduviidae, the long trichobothrium 9 in all Peiratinae might be derived for these taxa. So far, this scheme cannot be transferred to the pattern observed in other reduviid taxa.

In nymphs of most Reduviidae only the distalmost trichobothrium is present. The occurrence of several proximal trichobothria in nymphs as well as adults is a character shared by, and derived for, representatives of several of the harpactoroid groups. The presence of proximal trichobothria was demonstrated for Harpacto­rinae and Apiomerinae (Wygodzinsky & Lodhi, 1989) and for Diaspidinae and Ectinoderinae (this study), and might thus be an additional character for uniting the harpactoroid groups.
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